arXiv:1210.5782v1 [math.OA] 21 Oct 2012

INVARIANT SUBSETS UNDER COMPACT QUANTUM GROUP ACTIONS

HUICHI HUANG

Abstract. We study invariant subsets and invariant states of compact quantum group actions on unital C^*-algebras. Concerning compact quantum group actions on commutative C^*-algebras, we formulate the concept of compact quantum group orbits and prove some basic properties. Moreover, through analyzing invariant subsets and invariant states, we derive some interesting results about ergodic actions. Especially, we show that the unique invariant measure of a compact quantum homogeneous space with infinitely many points is non-atomic. As a result, countable compact metrizable spaces with infinitely many points are not quantum homogeneous spaces.

Contents

1. Introduction 2
Acknowledgements 5
2. Preliminaries 5
3. Actions on compact quantum spaces 10
3.1. Faithful actions 10
3.2. Invariant states 12
3.3. Invariant subsets 15
3.4. Kac algebra and tracial invariant states 19
4. Actions on compact Hausdorff spaces 22
4.1. Invariant subsets and invariant states 22
4.2. Minimal invariant subsets and orbits 24
4.3. Actions on finite spaces 29
4.4. Non-atomic invariant measures 31
4.5. Actions on countable compact Hausdorff spaces 34
References 36

Date: October 23, 2012.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 46L65; Secondary:16W22.

Key words and phrases. Compact quantum group, action, invariant state, invariant subset, compact Hausdorff space, orbit.

1. INTRODUCTION

A compact quantum group is a unital C^*-algebra A together with a unital $*$-homomorphism $\Delta : A \to A \otimes A$ satisfying the coassociativity

$$(\Delta \otimes id)\Delta = (id \otimes \Delta)\Delta$$

and the cancelation laws that both $\Delta(A)(1 \otimes A)$ and $\Delta(A)(A \otimes 1)$ are dense in $A \otimes A$. Compact quantum groups are "quantum" analogues of compact groups. There are lots of similarities and differences between these two. For instance, first they both have the Haar measure. But unlike the Haar measure of a compact group, the Haar measure of a compact quantum group need not to be faithful. Secondly, although there is a linear functional called the counit which plays the same role in a compact quantum group as the unit in a compact group, the counit is only densely defined and not necessarily bounded.

An action of a compact quantum group (A, Δ) on a unital C*-algebra B is a unital $*$-homomorphism $\alpha : B \to B \otimes A$ satisfying that

1. $(\alpha \otimes id)\alpha = (id \otimes \Delta)\alpha$;
2. $\alpha(B)(1 \otimes A)$ is dense in $B \otimes A$.

Actions of compact quantum groups on unital C^*-algebras differ from actions of compact groups in various aspects.

For example, the action map α is not necessarily injective (examples are given in [17]). Furthermore, it was shown by Høegh-Krohn, Landstad and Størmer that compact groups act ergodically only on operator algebras admitting finite traces [7]. An action α is called ergodic if $\alpha(b) = b \otimes 1$ implies that $b \in \mathbb{C}$. However, compact quantum groups can act ergodically on operator algebras of some other types. In fact, S. Wang constructed many interesting examples of ergodic actions of compact quantum groups on type III factors and Cuntz algebras [22]. Therefore, it is fruitful and interesting for one to study ergodic acions of compact quantum groups.

For a compact quantum group action α on a unital C^*-algebra B, a bounded linear functional μ on B is called invariant if $(\mu \otimes id)\alpha = \mu(\cdot)1_A$.

Let $(B^\alpha)'$ be the dual space of B^α and $\mathrm{Inv}(B)$ be the space of bounded α-invariant linear functionals on B. Define $T : \mathrm{Inv}(B) \to (B^\alpha)'$ as $T(\psi) = \psi|_{B^\alpha}$. Our first main theorem says that there is a one-one correspondence between $\mathrm{Inv}(B)$ and the dual space $(B^\alpha)'$ of the fixed point algebra B^α.

Theorem 1.1. *The linear map T is a bijective isometry.*

Consequently we have the following theorem (Theorem 3.6), which plays a central role throughout this paper.

Theorem 1.2. *An action α of a compact quantum group on B is ergodic if and only if there is a unique α-invariant state on B.*

Our next main result (Theorem 3.12) says that the "support" of an invariant state is an invariant subset.

Theorem 1.3. *Suppose that a compact quantum group $\mathcal{G}$ acts on B by α and μ is an α-invariant state on B. Let $\Phi_\mu : B \to B(H_\mu)$ be the GNS representation of B with respect to μ and denote $\ker \Phi_\mu$ by I_μ. Then I_μ is α-invariant, and the induced action on B/I_μ, denoted by α_μ, is injective.*

Continuing on this road, we get that traciality of invariant states implies the traciality of the Haar measure (Theorem 3.24).

Theorem 1.4. *Suppose that a compact quantum group $\mathcal{G}$ acts on B by α faithfully. If one of the following two conditions is true:*

(1) *every invariant state on B is tracial,*
(2) *there exists a faithful tracial invariant state on B,*

then $\mathcal{G}$ is a Kac algebra.

Next we turn to look at actions of compact quantum groups on commutative C^*-algebras, i.e., actions on compact Hausdorff spaces. We first get some motivations from the classical cases.

The concept of orbits arises naturally when one studies group actions. They have lots of nice properties which make them a useful tool. First of all, an orbit is an invariant subset under the group action. Secondly, the group action restricted on an orbit is transitive. Thirdly, an orbit is a minimal invariant subset, that is, if one point is contained in an invariant subset, then the orbit of this point is also a subset of this invariant subset.

We generalize the concept of orbits to actions of compact quantum groups on compact Hausdorff spaces X. For an $x \in X$, use Orb_x to denote the orbit of x (see Definition 4.6). Compact quantum group orbits behave as classical orbits in many aspects. We state these similarities in the following two theorems (Theorem 4.14 and Theorem 4.15).

Theorem 1.5. *For every $x \in X$, the orbit Orb_x is an α-invariant subset of X, and X is the disjoint union of orbits.*

Theorem 1.6. *The following are equivalent:*

(1) *The action α is ergodic.*

(2) $\mathrm{Orb}_x = X$ *for every* $x \in X$.
(3) *There exists* $x_0 \in X$ *such that* $\mathrm{Orb}_{x_0} = X$.

So far the minimality of orbits is only known for actions of coamenable compact quantum groups (see Theorem 4.21).

Theorem 1.7. *Suppose that a coamenable compact quantum group* (A, Δ) *acts on* X *by* α. *For any* α*-invariant subset* Y *of* X, *we have that*

$$Y = \bigcup_{x \in Y} \mathrm{Orb}_x.$$

Consequently, Orb_x *is a minimal* α*-invariant subset of* X *for every* $x \in X$.

Next we use compact quantum group orbits as a tool to study ergodic actions. Consider an ergodic compact quantum group action on a finite space $X_n = \{x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n\}$ and let $B = C(X_n)$. For $1 \leq i \leq n$, we denote the characteristic function of $\{x_i\}$ by e_i and the evaluation functional on B at x_i by ev_i. Use a_{ij} to stand for $(ev_i \otimes id)\alpha(e_j)$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. We have the following (Theorem 4.22).

Theorem 1.8. *Consider a compact quantum group* (A, Δ) *acting on* B *by* α. *The following are equivalent.*

(1) *The action* α *is ergodic.*
(2) $h(a_{ij}) = \frac{1}{n}$ *for the Haar measure* h *of* (A, Δ) *and* $1 \leq i, j \leq n$.
(3) *All* a_{ij}*'s are nonzero for* $1 \leq i, j \leq n$.
(4) *Every* α*-invariant state* ψ *of* B *satisfies* $\psi(e_i) = \frac{1}{n}$ *for all* $1 \leq i \leq n$.

For ergodic compact quantum group actions on compact spaces with infinitely many points, we have the following result about the unique invariant measure (Theorem 4.25).

Theorem 1.9. *If a compact quantum group* (A, Δ) *acts ergodically by* α *on a compact Hausdorff space* X *with infinitely many points, then the unique* α*-invariant measure* μ *of* X *is non-atomic.*

A consequence of the previous theorem is that compact Hausdorff spaces with countably infinitely many points do not admit any ergodic compact quantum group action (Theorem 4.28).

Theorem 1.10. *Any compact Hausdorff space with countably infinitely many points is not a quantum homogeneous space.*

Our paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we recall some facts about compact quantum groups and their actions on unital C^*-algebras. Theorem 3.5, Theorem 3.6, Theorem 3.12 and Theorem 3.24

are proven in section 3. Section 4.1 contains some preliminary lemmas. At the beginning of section 4.2, we formulate the concept of orbits. Then we prove Theorem 4.14 and Theorem 4.15. And we also characterize the minimal invariant subsets. We end section 4.2 by showing Theorem 4.21. Section 4.3 deals with actions on finite spaces and we prove Theorem 4.22. Section 4.4 is concerned with the invariant measure on a quantum homogenous compact Hausdorff space with infinitely many points, and Theorem 4.25 is proved at the end of this subsection. In section 4.5, we apply results in previous subsections to study actions on compact spaces with countably infinitely many points. As results, we prove Theorem 4.28 and Proposition 4.32.

Acknowledgements

I am grateful to Hanfeng Li for his long term support and encouragement. His advice is indispensable for the writing of this paper. Part of this paper was carried out during my staying in Chongqing university in the summer. I thank Shuzhou Wang for helpful discussions and Dechao Zheng for his hospitality.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some definitions and basic properties of compact quantum group and their actions. We refer to [12, 23, 24] for basics of compact quantum groups and [4, 11, 14] for some background of compact quantum group actions.

Throughout this paper, for two unital C*-algebras A and B, the notations $A \otimes B$ and $A \odot B$ stand for the minimal and the algebraic tensor product of A and B respectively.

For a $*$-homomorphism $\beta : B \to B \otimes A$, use $\beta(B)(1 \otimes A)$ and $\beta(B)(B \otimes 1)$ to denote the linear span of the set $\{\beta(b)(1_B \otimes a) | b \in B, a \in A\}$ and the linear span of the set $\{\beta(b_1)(b_2 \otimes 1_A) | b_1, b_2 \in B\}$ respectively.

For a C*-algebra B, we use $S(B)$ to denote the state space of B. For $\mu \in S(B)$, we denote $\{b \in B | \mu(b^*b) = 0\}$ by N_μ. If $N_\mu = \{0\}$, then μ is called faithful. If $\mu(ab) = \mu(ba)$ for all $a, b \in B$, then μ is called tracial.

Let's first recall the definition of compact quantum group, which, briefly speaking, is the C^*-algebra of continuous functions on some compact quantum space with a group-like structure.

Definition 2.1 (Definition 1.1 in [24]). A **compact quantum group** is a unital C*-algebra A together with a unital $*$-homomorphism $\Delta : A \to A \otimes A$ such that

(1) $(id \otimes \Delta)\Delta = (\Delta \otimes id)\Delta$.
(2) $\Delta(A)(1 \otimes A)$ and $\Delta(A)(A \otimes 1)$ are dense in $A \otimes A$.

The $*$-homomorphism Δ is called the **coproduct** or **comultiplication** of (A, Δ). The first condition in the definition of compact quantum groups just says that the coproduct is associative, and the second condition says that the left cancellation law and the right cancellation law hold. Note that a compact semigroup in which cancellation laws hold is a group. Hence compact quantum groups are the quantum analogue of compact groups.

Furthermore, one can think of A as $C(\mathcal{G})$, i.e., the C*-algebra of continuous functions on some quantum space $\mathcal{G}$ and we call $\mathcal{G}$ a compact quantum group.

There exists a unique state h on A such that

$$(h \otimes id)\Delta(a) = (id \otimes h)\Delta(a) = h(a)1_A$$

for all a in A. The state h is called the **Haar measure** of $\mathcal{G}$ or **the Haar state** on A. Throughout this paper, we use h to denote the Haar measure of $\mathcal{G}$.

Example 2.2 (Examples of compact quantum groups)**.**

(1) For every non-singular $n \times n$ complex matrix Q $(n > 1)$, the universal compact quantum group $(A_u(Q), \Delta_Q)$ [19, Theorem 1.3] is generated by u_{ij} $(i, j = 1, \cdots, n)$ with defining relations (with $u = (u_{ij})$):

$$u^*u = I_n = uu^*, \quad u^tQ\bar{u}Q^{-1} = I_n = Q\bar{u}Q^{-1}u^t;$$

and the coproduct Δ_Q given by $\Delta_Q(u_{ij}) = \sum_{k=1}^n u_{ik} \otimes u_{kj}$ for $1 \le i, j \le n$.

(2) The **quantum permutation group** $(A_s(n), \Delta_n)$ [21, Theorem 3.1] is the universal C^*-algebra generated by a_{ij} for $1 \le i, j \le n$ under the relations

$$a_{ij}^* = a_{ij} = a_{ij}^2, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij} = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} = 1.$$

The coproduct $\Delta_n : A_s(n) \to A_s(n) \otimes A_s(n)$ is the $*$-homomorphism satisfying that

$$\Delta_n(a_{ij}) = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} \otimes a_{kj}.$$

Definition 2.3. Let A be an associative $*$-algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ with an identity. Assume that Δ is a unital $*$-homomorphism from A to $A \odot A$

such that $(\Delta \otimes id)\Delta = (id \otimes \Delta)\Delta$. Also assume that there are linear maps $\varepsilon : A \to \mathbb{C}$ and $\kappa : A \to A$ such that

$$(\varepsilon \otimes id)\Delta(a) = (id \otimes \varepsilon)\Delta(a) = a$$

$$m(\kappa \otimes id)\Delta(a) = m(id \otimes \kappa)\Delta(a) = \varepsilon(a)1$$

for all $a \in A$, where $m : A \odot A \to A$ is the multiplication map. Then (A, Δ) is called a **Hopf $*$-algebra** [12, Definition 2.3].

A nondegenerate (unitary) **representation** U of a compact quantum group $\mathcal{G}$ is an invertible (unitary) element in $M(K(H) \otimes A)$ for some Hilbert space H satisfying that $U_{12}U_{13} = (id \otimes \Delta)U$. Here $K(H)$ is the C^*-algebra of compact operators on H and $M(K(H) \otimes A)$ is the multiplier C*-algebra of $K(H) \otimes A$. We write U_{12} and U_{13} respectively for the images of U by two maps from $M(K(H) \otimes A)$ to $M(K(H) \otimes A \otimes A)$ where the first one is obtained by extending the map $x \mapsto x \otimes 1$ from $K(H) \otimes A$ to $K(H) \otimes A \otimes A$, and the second one is obtained by composing this map with the flip on the last two factors. The Hilbert space H is called the **carrier** Hilbert space of U. From now on, we always assume representations are nondegenerate. If the carrier Hilbert space H is of finite dimension, then U is called a finite dimensional representation of $\mathcal{G}$.

For two representations U_1 and U_2 with the carrier Hilbert spaces H_1 and H_2 respectively, the set of **intertwiners** between U_1 and U_2, $Mor(U_1, U_2)$, is defined as

$$Mor(U_1, U_2) = \{T \in B(H_1, H_2) | (T \otimes 1)U_1 = U_2(T \otimes 1)\}.$$

Two representations U_1 and U_2 are equivalent if there exists an invertible element T in $Mor(U_1, U_2)$. A representation U is called **irreducible** if $Mor(U, U) \cong \mathbb{C}$.

Moreover, we have the following well-established facts about representations of compact quantum groups:

(1) Every finite dimensional representation is equivalent to a unitary representation.
(2) Every irreducible representation is finite dimensional.

Let $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ be the set of equivalence classes of irreducible representations of $\mathcal{G}$. For every $\gamma \in \widehat{\mathcal{G}}$, let $U^\gamma \in \gamma$ be unitary and H_γ be its carrier Hilbert space with dimension d_γ. After fixing an orthonormal basis of H_γ, we can write U^γ as $(u_{ij}^\gamma)_{1 \le i,j \le d_\gamma}$ with $u_{ij}^\gamma \in A$. The matrix $\overline{U^\gamma}$ is still an irreducible representation (not necessarily unitary) with the carrier Hilbert space $\overline{H_\gamma}$. It is called the **contragradient** representation of U^γ and the equivalence class of $\overline{U^\gamma}$ is denoted by γ^c. There is a unique positive invertible element F^γ in $Mor(U^\gamma, U^{\gamma^{cc}})$ such that

$tr(F^\gamma) = tr(F^\gamma)^{-1}$. Denote $tr(F^\gamma)$ by M_γ and M_γ is called the **quantum dimension** of γ. Note that $F^\gamma > 0$ is in $B(H_\gamma)$ and can be expressed as a $d_\gamma \times d_\gamma$ matrix under the same orthonormal basis of H_γ adopted by U^γ.

The linear space $\mathscr{A}$ spanned by $\{u^\gamma_{ij}\}_{\gamma\in\widehat{\mathcal{G}},\, 1\le i,j\le d_\gamma}$ is a Hopf $*$-algebra [23, 24] such that

$$\Delta|_{\mathscr{A}} : \mathscr{A} \to \mathscr{A} \odot \mathscr{A}, \qquad \Delta(u^\gamma_{ij}) = \sum_{m=1}^{d_\gamma} u^\gamma_{im} \otimes u^\gamma_{mj}.$$

Moreover, the following are true.

(1) The Haar measure h is **faithful** on $\mathscr{A}$, that is, if $h(a^*a) = 0$ for an $a \in \mathscr{A}$, then $a = 0$.

(2) There exist uniquely a linear multiplicative functional $\varepsilon : \mathscr{A} \to \mathbb{C}$ and a linear antimultiplicative map $\kappa : \mathscr{A} \to \mathscr{A}$ such that

$$\varepsilon(u^\gamma_{ij}) = \delta_{ij}, \qquad \kappa(u^\gamma_{ij}) = (u^\gamma_{ji})^*.$$

The two maps ε and κ are called the counit and the antipodle of $\mathcal{G}$ respectively.

For $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \widehat{\mathcal{G}}$, $1 \le m, k \le d_{\gamma_1}$ and $1 \le n, l \le d_{\gamma_2}$, we have

$$h(u^{\gamma_1}_{mk} u^{\gamma_2 *}_{nl}) = \frac{\delta_{\gamma_1\gamma_2}\delta_{mn}F^{\gamma_1}_{lk}}{M_{\gamma_1}}, \tag{1}$$

and

$$h(u^{\gamma_1}_{km} u^{\gamma_2}_{ln}) = \frac{\delta_{\gamma_1\gamma_2}\delta_{mn}(F^{\gamma_1})^{-1}_{lk}}{M_{\gamma_1}}. \tag{2}$$

A compact quantum group (A', Δ') is called a **quantum subgroup** of (A, Δ) if there exists a surjective $*$-homomorphism $\pi : A \to A'$ such that

$$(\pi \otimes \pi)\Delta = \Delta'\pi.$$

We can identify A' with a quotient C^*-algebra of A, i.e., $A' \cong A/I$ for some ideal of A. We call the ideal I a **Woronowicz C^*-ideal** of A. If we write A' as $C(\mathcal{H})$ for some quantum space $\mathcal{H}$, we also call $\mathcal{H}$ a quantum subgroup of $\mathcal{G}$ [20, Definition 2.13].

Definition 2.4 (Definition 1.4 in [14])**.** An **action** of a compact quantum group $\mathcal{G}$ on a unital C*-algebra B is a unital $*$-homomorphism $\alpha : B \to B \otimes A$ satisfying that

(1) $(\alpha \otimes id)\alpha = (id \otimes \Delta)\alpha$;

(2) $\alpha(B)(1 \otimes A)$ is dense in $B \otimes A$.

An action α of a compact quantum group (A, Δ) on B is called **ergodic** if the fixed point algebra $B^\alpha = \{b \in B | \alpha(b) = b \otimes 1\}$ equals $\mathbb{C}1_B$.

Consider an action of $\mathcal{G}$ on B. For every $\gamma \in \widehat{\mathcal{G}}$, there is a linear subspace B_γ of B with a basis $\mathscr{S}_\gamma = \{e_{\gamma ki} | k \in J_\gamma, 1 \leq i \leq d_\gamma\}$ such that α maps B_γ into $B_\gamma \odot \mathscr{A}$ and $\alpha(e_{\gamma ki}) = \sum_{j=1}^{d_\gamma} e_{\gamma kj} \otimes u^\gamma_{ji}$. Moreover B_γ contains any other subspace of B satisfying these two conditions. The **quantum multiplicity** $\mathrm{mul}(B, \gamma)$ of γ is defined as cardinality of J_γ, which does not depend on the choice of J_γ [14, Thoerem 1.5]. Moreover, $B^*_\gamma = B_{\gamma^c}$ [4, Lemma 11]. Hence $\mathrm{mul}(B, \gamma) > 0$ implies $\mathrm{mul}(B, \gamma^c) > 0$.

Take $\mathscr{B} = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \widehat{\mathcal{G}}} B_\gamma$. It is known from [14, Thoerem 1.5] that $\mathscr{B}$ is a dense $*$-subalgebra of B, which is called the **Podlés algebra** of B. Also

$$\alpha|_{\mathscr{B}} : \mathscr{B} \to \mathscr{B} \odot \mathscr{A}, \qquad (id \otimes \varepsilon)\alpha|_{\mathscr{B}} = id_{\mathscr{B}}.$$

We say a bounded linear functional μ on B is **α-invariant** or briefly **invariant** if $(\mu \otimes id)\alpha(b) = \mu(b)1_A$ for all $b \in B$. Denote by Inv_α the set of α-invariant states on B. It is known that

$$Inv_\alpha = \{(\psi \otimes h)\alpha | \psi \in S(B)\}.$$

Suppose that a compact quantum group (A, Δ) acts on B_i by α_i for $i = 1, 2$. A unital $*$-homomorphism $s : B_1 \to B_2$ is called **equivariant** if

$$\alpha_2 \, s = (s \otimes id)\alpha_1.$$

Denote by $C(X)$ the C^*-algebra of complex-valued continuous functions on a compact Hausdorff space X. If a compact quantum group $\mathcal{G}$ acts on $B = C(X)$, then briefly we say that $\mathcal{G}$ acts on X.

Definition 2.5 (Definition 1.8 in [14]). A unital C^*-algebra B is called a **quantum homogeneous space** if B admits an ergodic compact quantum group action.

Briefly speaking, studying actions of compact quantum groups on unital C^*-algebras is to study how compact quantum groups behave as symmetries of compact quantum spaces. Certainly there are many interesting examples of compact quantum group actions. Below we list some of them which we use later.

Example 2.6 (Examples of compact quantum group actions).

(1) Every compact quantum group (A, Δ) acts on A by the coproduct Δ, and $\mathscr{A}$ is the Podlés algebra of A.

(2) The adjoint action Ad_u of $(A_u(Q), \Delta_Q)$ on $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is given by

$$Ad_u(b) = u(b \otimes 1)u^*,$$

for every $b \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$.

(3) Recall that the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_n$ [5] is the universal C^*-algebra generated by n(≥ 2) isometries $S_1, S_2, ..., S_n$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} S_i S_i^* = 1.$$

The compact quantum group $(A_u(Q), \Delta_Q)$ acts on $\mathcal{O}_n$ by

$$\alpha(S_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} S_j \otimes u_{ji},$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

(4) The quantum permutation group $A_s(n)$ acts on $X_n = \{x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n\}$ [21, Theorem 3.1] by

$$\alpha(e_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} e_j \otimes a_{ji},$$

where e_i is the characteristic function of $\{x_i\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

3. Actions on compact quantum spaces

3.1. **Faithful actions.**

In this section, we give some equivalent conditions of faithful compact quantum group actions for future use. This is well known for experts, but for completeness and convenience, we give a proof here. Part of these results can be found in [6, Lemma 2.4].

We first recall some definitions.

Definition 3.1 (Definition 2.9 in [20])**.** A unital C*-subalgebra Q of a compact quantum group A is called a **compact quantum quotient group** of (A, Δ) if $\Delta(Q) \subseteq Q \otimes Q$, and $\Delta(Q)(1 \otimes Q)$ and $\Delta(Q)(Q \otimes 1)$ are dense in $Q \otimes Q$. That is, $(Q, \Delta|_Q)$ is a compact quantum group. If $Q \neq A$, we call Q a **proper compact quantum quotient group**.

We say that a compact quantum group action α on B is **faithful** if there is no proper compact quantum quotient group Q of A such that α induces an action α_q of Q on B satisfying $\alpha(b) = \alpha_q(b)$ for all b in B [21, Definition 2.4].

There are several equivalent descriptions of faithful actions.

Proposition 3.2. *Consider a compact quantum group action α of (A, Δ) on B. The following are equivalent:*

(1) *The action* α *is faithful.*

(2) *The* $*$*-subalgebra of* A *generated by* $(\omega \otimes id)\alpha(B)$ *for all bounded linear functionals* ω *on* B *is dense in* A*.*

(3) *The* $*$*-subalgebra* $\mathscr{A}_1$ *of* $\mathscr{A}$ *generated by* $(\omega \otimes id)\alpha(\mathscr{B})$ *for all bounded linear functionals* ω *on* B *is dense in* A*.*

(4) *The* $*$*-subalgebra* $\mathscr{A}_2$ *of* $\mathscr{A}$ *generated by* u_{ij}^{γ} *for all* $\gamma \in \widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ *and* $1 \le i, j \le d_\gamma$ *such that* $\mathrm{mul}(B, \gamma) > 0$ *is dense in* A*.*

(5) $\mathscr{A}_2 = \mathscr{A}$*.*

Proof. (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Suppose that the action α of (A, Δ) on B induces an action α_q of a quotient group Q of (A, Δ) on B such that $\alpha(b) = \alpha_q(b)$ for all b in B. The $*$-subalgebra generated by $(\omega \otimes id)\alpha(B)$ for all bounded linear functional ω on B is a subalgebra of Q. Hence $Q = A$ and α is faithful.

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (4). Let A_2 be the closure of $\mathscr{A}_2$ in A. We want to show that $(A_2, \Delta|_{A_2})$ is a quotient group of (A, Δ). First, since $\Delta(\mathscr{A}_2) \subseteq \mathscr{A}_2 \odot \mathscr{A}_2$, we have that $\Delta(A_2) \subseteq A_2 \otimes A_2$.

We next show that $\Delta(A_2)(1 \otimes A_2)$ is dense in $A_2 \otimes A_2$. Since u^γ is unitary for all $\gamma \in \widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ with $\mathrm{mul}(B, \gamma) > 0$, we first have

$$\sum_{t=1}^{d_\gamma} \Delta(u_{it}^{\gamma})(1 \otimes u_{jt}^{\gamma *}) = u_{ij}^{\gamma} \otimes 1,$$

for all $1 \le i, j \le d_\gamma$. Note that $\sum_{t=1}^{d_\gamma} \Delta(u_{it}^{\gamma})(1 \otimes u_{jt}^{\gamma *})$ belongs to $\Delta(A_2)(1 \otimes A_2)$, so does $u_{ij}^{\gamma} \otimes 1$ for all $1 \le i, j \le d_\gamma$. It follows that

$$u_{ij}^{\gamma_1} u_{kl}^{\gamma_2} \otimes 1 \in \Delta(A_2)(1 \otimes A_2)(u_{kl}^{\gamma_2} \otimes 1) = \Delta(A_2)(u_{kl}^{\gamma_2} \otimes 1)(1 \otimes A_2) \subseteq \Delta(A_2)(1 \otimes A_2)$$

for all $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ with positive multiplicity in B and all $1 \le i, j \le d_{\gamma_1}$ and $1 \le k, l \le d_{\gamma_2}$. Inductively $u_{i_1 j_1}^{\gamma_1} \cdots u_{i_s j_s}^{\gamma_s} \otimes 1 \in \Delta(A_2)(1 \otimes A_2)$ for all $\gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_s \in \widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ with positive multiplicity in B and all $1 \le i_t, j_t \le d_{\gamma_t}$ with $1 \le t \le s$.

Note that $\mathscr{A}_2$ is the $*$-subalgebra of $\mathscr{A}$ generated by the matrix elements of u^γ for all $\gamma \in \widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ with $\mathrm{mul}(B, \gamma) > 0$. Also the adjoint of the matrix elements of u^γ are the matrix elements of u^{γ^c}, the contragradient representation of γ. Hence $\mathscr{A}_2$ is the subalgebra of $\mathscr{A}$ generated by the matrix elements of u^γ for all $\gamma \in \widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ with positive multiplicity in B. So $A_2 \otimes 1$ is in the closure of $\Delta(A_2)(1 \otimes A_2)$. Then for any $a, b \in A_2$, we have $a \otimes b = (a \otimes 1)(1 \otimes b)$ is in the closure of $\Delta(A_2)(1 \otimes A_2)$ since $\Delta(A_2)(1 \otimes A_2)(1 \otimes b) \subseteq \Delta(A_2)(1 \otimes A_2)$. Hence $\Delta(A_2)(1 \otimes A_2)$ is dense in $A_2 \otimes A_2$.

Similarly, we can prove that $1 \otimes u_{ij}^{\gamma *} \in \Delta(A_2)(A_2 \otimes 1)$ for all $\gamma \in \widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ with $\mathrm{mul}(B, \gamma) > 0$ and all $1 \le i, j \le d_\gamma$, and that $\Delta(A_2)(A_2 \otimes 1)$ is dense in $A_2 \otimes A_2$. Therefore, A_2 is a compact quantum quotient group of A. Next we show that α is an action of $(A_2, \Delta|_{A_2})$ on B.

Obviously $\alpha(B) \subseteq B \otimes A_2$. To show that $\alpha(B)(1 \otimes A_2)$ is dense in $B \otimes A_2$, it is enough to prove that $e_{\gamma k i} \otimes 1 \in \alpha(B)(1 \otimes A_2)$ for all $\gamma \in \widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ such that $\mathrm{mul}(B, \gamma) > 0$ and all $1 \leq i \leq d_\gamma$ and $1 \leq k \leq \mathrm{mul}(B, \gamma)$. This follows from the following identity:

$$\sum_{t=1}^{d_\gamma} \alpha(e_{\gamma k t})(1 \otimes u_{it}^{\gamma *}) = e_{\gamma k i} \otimes 1.$$

Hence α is also an action of A_2 on B. By the faithfulness of α, we have that $A_2 = A$.

(3) $\Leftrightarrow$ (4). To prove the equivalence of (3) and (4), it suffices to show that $\mathscr{A}_1 = \mathscr{A}_2$. Obviously $\mathscr{A}_1 \subseteq \mathscr{A}_2$. For $\gamma \in \widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ such that $\mathrm{mul}(B, \gamma) > 0$, we have that $\alpha(e_{\gamma k i}) = \sum_{j=1}^{d_\gamma} e_{\gamma k j} \otimes u_{ji}^{\gamma}$ for $1 \leq i \leq d_\gamma$ and $1 \leq k \leq \mathrm{mul}(B, \gamma)$. Note that $e_{\gamma k i}$'s are linearly independent. For every $1 \leq s \leq d_\gamma$ and every $1 \leq l \leq \mathrm{mul}(B, \gamma)$, by the Hahn-Banach Theorem, there exists a bounded linear functional ω_{ls}^{γ} on B such that $\omega_{ls}^{\gamma}(e_{\gamma k i}) = \delta_{kl}\delta_{si}$ for $1 \leq i \leq d_\gamma$ and $1 \leq k \leq \mathrm{mul}(B, \gamma)$. Therefore $(\omega_{ks}^{\gamma} \otimes id)\alpha(e_{\gamma k i}) = u_{si}^{\gamma} \in \mathscr{A}_1$ for all $\gamma \in \widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ such that $\mathrm{mul}(B, \gamma) > 0$, and for every $1 \leq i \leq d_\gamma$ and every $1 \leq s \leq \mathrm{mul}(B, \gamma)$. This implies that $\mathscr{A}_2 \subseteq \mathscr{A}_1$, which proves the equivalence of (3) and (4).

(2) $\Leftrightarrow$ (3). The equivalence of (2) and (3) is immediate from the density of $\mathscr{B}$ in B and the continuity of $(\omega \otimes id)\alpha$ for every bounded linear functional ω on B.

(4) $\Leftrightarrow$ (5). It is obvious that (5) implies (4). Now suppose that (4) is true. The $*$-subalgebra $\mathscr{A}_2$ is a Hopf $*$-subalgebra of A. A compact quantum group has a unique dense Hopf $*$-subalgebra [3, Theorem A.1], so (5) follows. $\square$

3.2. **Invariant states.**

In this subsection, we prove Theorem 3.5 and Theorem 3.6.

First, for a compact quantum group, there is a reduced version of it in which the Haar measure is faithful [3, Theorem 2.1].

For a compact quantum group (A, Δ) with the Haar measure h and the counit ε, let $N_h = \{a \in A | h(a^*a) = 0\}$ and $\pi_r : A \to A/N_h$ be the quotient map. Then N_h is a two-sided ideal of A [12, Proposition 7.9]. Furthermore, the following is true.

Theorem (Theorem 2.1 of [3]). *For a compact quantum group(A, Δ), the C^*-algebra $A_r = A/N_h$ is a compact quantum subgroup of (A, Δ) with coproduct Δ_r determined by $\Delta_r(\pi_r(a)) = (\pi_r \otimes \pi_r)\Delta(a)$, for all $a \in A$. The Haar measure h_r of (A_r, Δ_r) is given by $h = h_r\pi_r$ and h_r*

is faithful. Also, the quotient map π_r *is injective on* $\mathscr{A}$ *and the Hopf* $*$*-algebra of* (A_r, Δ_r) *is* $\pi_r(\mathscr{A})$*, with the counit* ε_r *and the antipodle* κ_r *determined by* $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_r \pi_r$ *and* $\pi_r \kappa = \kappa_r \pi_r$*, respectively.*

Definition 3.3. The compact quantum group (A_r, Δ_r) is called the **reduced** compact quantum group of (A, Δ).

From the theorem above, it is easy to check that any compact quantum group action of (A, Δ) on B induces an action α_r of (A_r, Δ_r) on B defined by

$$\alpha_r = (id \otimes \pi_r)\alpha.$$

Let α be an action of compact quantum group (A, Δ) on a unital C*-algebra B. Let $B^\alpha = \{b \in B | \alpha(b) = b \otimes 1_A\}$. It is known that

$$B^\alpha = (id \otimes h)\alpha(B).$$

Take a unital C^*-algebra C and let C_1 be a unital C^*-subalgebra of C. A **conditional expectation** is a completely positive map $p : C \to C_1$ such that $p(b_1) = b_1$ and $p(b_1 b b_2) = b_1 p(b) b_2$ for any $b_1, b_2 \in C_1$ and $b \in C$.

Recall that every bounded linear functional on a unital C*-algebra $\mathfrak{U}$ can be expressed uniquely as $\rho_1^+ - \rho_1^- + i(\rho_2^+ - \rho_2^-)$, where ρ_j^+ and ρ_j^- are positive linear functionals on $\mathfrak{U}$ for $j = 1, 2$ such that $\|\rho_1^+ - \rho_1^-\| = \|\rho_1^+\| + \|\rho_1^-\|$ and $\|\rho_2^+ - \rho_2^-\| = \|\rho_2^+\| + \|\rho_2^-\|$ [9, Theorem 4.3.6]. This unique expression of ρ as a linear combination of positive linear functionals is called the **decomposition** of ρ.

Proposition 3.4. *Suppose that* $p : C \to C_1$ *is a conditional expectation. For any bounded linear functional* ψ *on* C_1*, if* $\psi = \psi_1 - \psi_2 + i(\psi_3 - \psi_4)$ *is the decomposition of* ψ *into the linear combination of positive linear functionals* ψ_i *for* $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ *such that* $\|\psi_1 - \psi_2\| = \|\psi_1\| + \|\psi_2\|$ *and* $\|\psi_3 - \psi_4\| = \|\psi_3\| + \|\psi_4\|$*, then* $\psi p = \psi_1 p - \psi_2 p + i(\psi_3 p - \psi_4 p)$ *is the decomposition of* ψp*.*

Proof. With no loss of generality, we can take ψ as a Hermitian linear functional. Now let ψ be Hermitian and $\psi = \psi_1 - \psi_2$ the decomposition. Since p is a conditional expectation, we have that ψp is also Hermitian, $\psi_i p's$ are all positive on C, and $\|p\| = \|p(1)\| = 1$. Since $p(b_1) = b_1$ for any $b_1 \in C_1$, we have that ψ is the restriction of ψp on C_1. So $\|\psi\| \leq \|\psi p\|$. On the other hand,

$$\|\psi p\| \leq \|\psi\| \|p\| = \|\psi\|.$$

Hence $\|\psi\| = \|\psi p\|$ and similarly $\|\psi_i\| = \|\psi_i p\|$ for $i = 1, 2$. Therefore, $\psi p = \psi_1 p - \psi_2 p$ and $\|\psi p\| = \|\psi_1 p\| + \|\psi_2 p\|$. By the uniqueness of the decomposition of ψp, we see that $\psi p = \psi_1 p - \psi_2 p$ is the decomposition of ψp. $\square$

Let $(B^\alpha)'$ be the dual space of B^α and $\mathrm{Inv}(B)$ be the space of α-invariant bounded linear functionals on B. Define $T : \mathrm{Inv}(B) \to (B^\alpha)'$ as $T(\psi) = \psi|_{B^\alpha}$. Then

Theorem 3.5. *The linear map T is a bijective isometry.*

Proof. Obviously $\|T\| \leq 1$, so T is bounded. Define the map $S : (B^\alpha)' \to B'$ by $S(\varphi) = \widetilde{\varphi}$ for every φ in $(B^\alpha)'$ where $\widetilde{\varphi}$ is the linear functional on B defined by

$$\widetilde{\varphi}(b) = \varphi((id \otimes h)\alpha(b))$$

for every $b \in B$. Next we show that S is the inverse of T.

First we show that $\widetilde{\varphi}$ is α-invariant. From $(\alpha \otimes id)\alpha = (id \otimes \Delta)\alpha$ and $(h \otimes id)\Delta = h(\cdot)1_A$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\widetilde{\varphi} \otimes id)\alpha &= ((\varphi \otimes h)\alpha \otimes id)\alpha = (\varphi \otimes h \otimes id)(\alpha \otimes id)\alpha \\ &= (\varphi \otimes h \otimes id)(id \otimes \Delta)\alpha = (\varphi \otimes ((h \otimes id)\Delta))\alpha \\ &= (\varphi \otimes (h(\cdot)1_A))\alpha = \varphi((id \otimes h)\alpha(\cdot))1_A = \widetilde{\varphi}(\cdot)1_A.\end{aligned}$$

Hence S maps $(B^\alpha)'$ into $\mathrm{Inv}(B)$. Moreover $\alpha(b) = b \otimes 1_A$ for any $b \in B^\alpha$. Hence $\widetilde{\varphi}(b) = \varphi(b)$ for any $b \in B^\alpha$. So φ is the restriction of $\widetilde{\varphi}$ on B^α. Therefore $\widetilde{\varphi}$ is α-invariant and $TS(\varphi) = T(\widetilde{\varphi}) = \varphi$. This shows the surjectivity of T.

Secondly for all $\phi \in \mathrm{Inv}(B)$ and all $b \in B$, we have $(\phi \otimes id)\alpha(b) = \phi(b)1_A$. Applying h on both sides of the above equation, we get $(\phi \otimes h)\alpha(b) = \phi(b)$. So

$$\widetilde{T(\phi)}(b) = T(\phi)((id \otimes h)\alpha(b)) = \phi((id \otimes h)\alpha(b)) = (\phi \otimes h)\alpha(b) = \phi(b)$$

for all $b \in B$. That is to say that $ST(\phi) = \widetilde{T(\phi)} = \phi$ for all $\phi \in \mathrm{Inv}(B)$. Therefore S is the inverse of T and T is bijective.

Moreover for every $\phi \in \mathrm{Inv}(B)$, we see that $\|T(\phi)\| \leq \|\phi\|$ and $\phi(b) = (\phi \otimes h)\alpha(b) = \phi((id \otimes h)\alpha(b))$ for each $b \in B$. If $b \in B$ and $\|b\| \leq 1$, then $(id \otimes h)\alpha(b) \in B^\alpha$ and $\|(id \otimes h)\alpha(b)\| \leq 1$. So

$$\begin{aligned}\|\phi\| &= \sup_{\|b\| \leq 1} |\phi(b)| = \sup_{\|b\| \leq 1} |\phi((id \otimes h)\alpha(b))| \\ &= \sup_{\|b\| \leq 1} |T(\phi)((id \otimes h)\alpha(b))| \leq \|T(\phi)\|.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\|T(\phi)\| = \|\phi\|$ for every $\phi \in \mathrm{Inv}(B)$ and T is an isometry from $\mathrm{Inv}(B)$ onto $(B^\alpha)'$. $\square$

The following theorem follows from Theorem 3.5 immediately.

Theorem 3.6. *A compact quantum group action α of (A, Δ) on B is ergodic if and only if there is a unique α-invariant state on B.*

Proof. The "only if" part is well-known [4, Lemma 4], and we just prove the "if" part.

Assume that there is a unique α-invariant state on B. By Theorem 3.5, we have that $\mathrm{Inv}(B) \cong (B^\alpha)'$. So there is a unique state on $(B^\alpha)'$. Every bounded linear functional on B^α is a linear combination of states on B^α, so $(B^\alpha)' = \mathbb{C}$. Hence $B^\alpha \subseteq (B^\alpha)'' = \mathbb{C}$. Therefore $B^\alpha = \mathbb{C}$ and α is ergodic. $\square$

For a compact quantum group action α of (A, Δ) on B, recall that the reduced action α_r of (A_r, Δ_r) on B is defined by

$$\alpha_r = (id \otimes \pi_r)\alpha.$$

A state μ on B is α-invariant if and only if μ is α_r-invariant since $(\mu \otimes h)\alpha = (\mu \otimes h_r)\alpha_r$. So by Theorem 3.6, the following is true.

Corollary 3.7. *A compact quantum group action α of (A, Δ) on B is ergodic if and only if the reduced action α_r of (A_r, Δ_r) on B is ergodic.*

3.3. **Invariant subsets.**

From now on, an ideal I of a unital C^*-algebra B always means a closed two-sided ideal, and we denote the quotient map from B onto B/I by π_I.

Definition 3.8. For a compact quantum group action α of (A, Δ) on B, an ideal I of B is called α-invariant if for all $b \in I$,

$$(\pi_I \otimes id)\alpha(b) = 0.$$

A proper invariant ideal I is called **maximal** if any proper α-invariant ideal $J \supseteq I$ of B satisfies that $I = J$.

Remark 3.9. If an ideal I of B is α-invariant, then α induces an action α_I of (A, Δ) on B/I given by

$$\alpha_I(b + I) = (\pi \otimes id)\alpha(b)$$

for all $b \in B$.

If $B = C(X)$ for a compact Hausdorff space X, then there is a one-one correspondence between closed subsets of X and ideals of B. To say that an ideal is invariant under a compact group action is equivalent to say that the corresponding closed subset of X is invariant. An ideal is maximal just says that the corresponding closed subset is a minimal invariant subset of X.

Proposition 3.10. *If I is an α-invariant ideal of B, then I is also α_r-invariant.*

Proof. Since I is α-invariant, we have that $(\pi_I \otimes id)\alpha(b) = 0$ for all $b \in I$. Note that $\alpha_r = (id \otimes \pi_r)\alpha$. It follows that $(\pi_I \otimes id)\alpha_r(b) = (id \otimes \pi_r)(\pi_I \otimes id)\alpha(b) = 0$ for all $b \in I$. So I is also α_r-invariant. $\square$

If I is an α-invariant ideal of B, then for every α_I-invariant state μ on B/I, the state $\mu\pi_I$ is an α-invariant state on B. By Theorem 3.6, we have the following.

Proposition 3.11. *Consider a compact quantum group action α on B. If I is an α-invariant ideal of B and α is ergodic, then α_I is also ergodic.*

Take an α-invariant state μ on B. Let $\Phi_\mu : B \to B(H_\mu)$ be the GNS representation of B with respect to μ and denote $\ker \Phi_\mu$ by I_μ. If B is commutative, then

$$I_\mu = N_\mu = \{f \in B | \mu(f^*f) = 0\} = \{f \in B | \ f|_{support\ of\ \mu} = 0\}.$$

For a compact group action on a commutative C^*-algebra $B = C(X)$ for a compact Hausdorff space, the ideal I_μ is invariant is equivalent to that the support of μ is an invariant subset of X. The following theorem says that for a compact quantum group action α on a compact quantum space, the "support" of an α-invariant state μ on B is also α-invariant.

Theorem 3.12. *Suppose that $\mathcal{G}$ acts on B by α and μ is an α-invariant state on B. The ideal I_μ of B is α-invariant, and the induced action on B/I_μ, denoted by α_μ, is injective.*

To prove Theorem 3.12, we need the following lemma:

Lemma 3.13. *There exists an injective $*$-homomorphism $\beta : B(H_\mu) \to L(H_\mu \otimes A)$ such that*

$$\beta\Phi_\mu = (\Phi_\mu \otimes id)\alpha,$$

where $H_\mu \otimes A$ is the right Hilbert A-module with the inner product $\langle ., . \rangle$ given by $\langle b_1 \otimes a_1, b_2 \otimes a_2 \rangle = \mu(b_1^* b_2) a_1^* a_2$ for $a_i \in A$ and $b_i \in B$, and $L(H_\mu \otimes A)$ is the set of adjointable maps on $H_\mu \otimes A$

Proof. We can define a bounded linear map $U : H_\mu \otimes A \to H_\mu \otimes A$ by

$$U(b \otimes a) = \alpha(b)(1 \otimes a),$$

for all $b \in B$ and $a \in A$. Here, for convenience, we also use b to denote $b + N_\mu \in H_\mu$ (recall that $N_\mu = \{b \in B | \mu(b^*b) = 0\}$). By the invariance of μ, we have that

$$\langle U(b_1 \otimes a_1), U(b_2 \otimes a_2) \rangle = \langle b_1 \otimes a_1, b_2 \otimes a_2 \rangle, \tag{3}$$

for $a_i \in A$, $b_i \in B$. The identity (3) shows that U is a well-defined isometry from $H_\mu \otimes A$ into $H_\mu \otimes A$. The surjectivity of U follows from that $\alpha(B)(1 \otimes A)$ is dense in $B \otimes A$. Moreover the adjoint U^* of U equals U^{-1}. Therefore U is a unitary in $L(H_\mu \otimes A)$. So U can be taken as a unitary in $M(K(H_\mu) \otimes A) \cong L(H_\mu \otimes A)$ where $K(H_\mu)$ is the set of compact operators on H_μ. Also $U_{12}U_{13} = (id \otimes \Delta)U$. Hence, U is a unitary representation of $\mathcal{G}$ with the carrier Hilbert space H_μ.

Let $\beta(T) = U(T \otimes 1)U^*$ for $T \in B(H_\mu)$. It is easy to see that β is an injective $*$-homomorphism from $B(H_\mu)$ into $L(H_\mu \otimes A)$. To prove $\beta\Phi_\mu = (\Phi_\mu \otimes id)\alpha$, it is enough to show that

$$\beta\Phi_\mu(b)(\alpha(b_1)(1 \otimes a_1)) = (\Phi_\mu \otimes id)\alpha(b)(\alpha(b_1)(1 \otimes a_1))$$

for all $a_1 \in A$ and $b, b_1 \in B$, since $\alpha(B)(1 \otimes A)$ is dense in $B \otimes A$. From the definitions of U and β and that U is unitary,

$$\begin{aligned}\beta\Phi_\mu(b)(\alpha(b_1)(1 \otimes a_1)) &= u(b \otimes 1)u^*(\alpha(b_1)(1 \otimes a_1)) \\ &= u(bb_1 \otimes a_1) = \alpha(bb_1)(1 \otimes a_1).\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have that

$$(\Phi_\mu \otimes id)\alpha(b)(\alpha(b_1)(1 \otimes a_1)) = \alpha(bb_1)(1 \otimes a_1).$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 3.12.

Proof. By Lemma 3.13, we have that $\beta\Phi_\mu = (\Phi_\mu \otimes id)\alpha$. Hence $(\Phi_\mu \otimes id)\alpha(b) = \beta\Phi_\mu(b) = 0$ for any $b \in I_\mu$. Let π_μ be the quotient map from B onto B/I_μ and $\widehat{\Phi_\mu}$ be the injective $*$-homomorphism from B/I_μ into $B(H_\mu)$ induced by Φ_μ, then

$$\Phi_\mu = \widehat{\Phi_\mu}\pi_\mu.$$

The injectivity of $\widehat{\Phi_\mu}$ gives us the injectivity of $\widehat{\Phi_\mu} \otimes id$. So for $b \in I_\mu$, the identities

$$0 = \beta\Phi_\mu(b) = (\Phi_\mu \otimes id)\alpha(b) = (\widehat{\Phi_\mu} \otimes id)(\pi_\mu \otimes id)\alpha(b)$$

implies that $(\pi_\mu \otimes id)\alpha(b) = 0$, which proves the invariance of I_μ.

If $\alpha_\mu(b + I_\mu) = 0$ for some $b \in B$, then $(\pi_\mu \otimes id)\alpha(b) = 0$. Hence $(\widehat{\Phi_\mu} \otimes id)(\pi_\mu \otimes id)\alpha(b) = 0$. Then it follows from $\Phi_\mu = \widehat{\Phi_\mu}\pi_\mu$ that $(\Phi_\mu \otimes id)\alpha(b) = 0$. Since $\beta\Phi_\mu = (\Phi_\mu \otimes id)\alpha$, we have that $\beta\Phi_\mu(b) = 0$. That is to say $\beta\widehat{\Phi_\mu}\pi_\mu(b) = 0$. Since β and $\widehat{\Phi_\mu}$ are both injective, we have that $\pi_\mu(b) = 0$, which proves the injectivity of α_μ. $\square$

Theorem 3.12 shows that there always exist invariant subsets such that the induced action is injective. Furthermore, there exists the "largest" invariant subsets with injective action.

Theorem 3.14. *Consider a compact quantum group action* α *on* B. *Let* $\mathfrak{J}$ *be the set of all proper* α*-invariant ideals of* B *with injective induced actions. Denote the ideal* $\bigcap_{I\in\mathfrak{J}} I$ *by* $I_{\mathfrak{J}}$. *Then* $I_{\mathfrak{J}}$ *is also* α*-invariant. The induced action on* $B/\bigcap_{I\in\mathfrak{J}} I$ *is injective and satisfies the following universal property:*

for any proper invariant ideal I *with injective induced action on* B/I, *there is an equivariant map from* $B/I_{\mathfrak{J}}$ *onto* B/I.

We first prove two preliminary results. The first one says that the closure of the union of invariant subsets is still invariant.

Proposition 3.15. *Consider a compact quantum group action* α *of* (A, Δ) *on* B. *If* I_λ *is an* α*-invariant ideal of* B *for every* $\lambda \in \Lambda$, *then* $\bigcap_{\lambda\in\Lambda} I_\lambda$ *is also an* α*-invariant ideal of* B.

Before we start to prove the above Proposition 3.15, we prove the following result which is well-known for experts. For the convenience of the reader, we give a proof below.

We need the following proposition.

Proposition 3.16. *[10, Proposition 3.2.11] Let* A_i *be a unital* C^**-algebra for every* $1 \le i \le n$. *Then the space* $A_1' \odot A_2' \cdots \odot A_n'$ *of the algebraic tensor product of the dual spaces* A_i' *is weak** *dense in the dual space* $(A_1 \otimes A_2 \cdots \otimes A_n)'$ *of* $A_1 \otimes A_2 \cdots \otimes A_n$.

Lemma 3.17. *For two unital* C^**-algebras* A *and* B, *the set* $\mathcal{T} = \{\varphi \otimes \psi | \varphi \in S(A),\, \psi \in S(B)\}$ *separates the points of* $A \otimes B$.

Proof. From the above proposition, $A' \odot B'$ separates the points of $A \otimes B$. Note that every element of $A' \otimes B'$ is a linear combination of some elements in $\mathcal{T}$, so $\mathcal{T}$ separates the points of $A \otimes B$. □

Proof of Proposition 3.15. Take any $b \in \bigcap_\lambda I_\lambda$ and any $\psi \in S(A)$. By the invariance of I_λ, we have that $\pi_\lambda((id \otimes \psi)\alpha(b)) = 0$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Hence for any $\psi \in S(A)$, we have that $(id \otimes \psi)\alpha(b) \in \bigcap_\lambda I_\lambda$. Denote the quotient map from B onto $B/\bigcap_{\lambda\in\Lambda} I_\lambda$ by π_Λ. Then we get $(\pi_\Lambda \otimes \psi)\alpha(b) = 0$ for all $\psi \in S(A)$. It follows that $(\phi \otimes \psi)((\pi_\Lambda \otimes id)\alpha(b)) = 0$ for all $\phi \in S(B/\bigcap_{\lambda\in\Lambda} I_\lambda)$ and all $\psi \in S(A)$. By Lemma 3.17 the set $\{\phi \otimes \psi\}_{\phi\in S(B/\bigcap_{\lambda\in\Lambda} I_\lambda), \psi\in S(A)}$ separates the points of $(B/\bigcap_{\lambda\in\Lambda} I_\lambda) \otimes A$. So $(\pi_\Lambda \otimes id)\alpha(b) = 0$ and $\bigcap_\lambda I_\lambda$ is invariant. □

Lemma 3.18. *Consider a compact quantum group action* α *of* (A, Δ) *on* B. *Suppose that for every* $\lambda \in \Lambda$, *the ideal* I_λ *is a proper* α*-invariant ideal of* B *such that the induced actions on* B/I_λ *is injective. Denote* $\bigcap_{\lambda\in\Lambda} I_\lambda$ *by* I_Λ. *The ideal* I_Λ *is also an* α*-invariant ideal and the induced action on* B/I_Λ *is injective. Furthermore, the quotient map from* B/I_Λ *onto* B/I_λ *is equivariant for every* $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

Proof. The invariance of I_Λ follows from Proposition 3.15. Denote the induced action on B/I_Λ by α_Λ and the quotient maps from B onto B/I_λ and B/I_Λ by π_λ and π_Λ respectively. For every B/I_λ, we denote the canonical surjective map from B/I_Λ onto B/I_λ by $\pi_{\lambda\Lambda}$. Since $\pi_{\lambda\Lambda}\pi_\Lambda = \pi_\lambda$ and both π_λ and π_Λ are equivariant, we have the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
B/I_\Lambda \arrow[r, "\alpha_\Lambda"] \arrow[d, "\pi_{\lambda\Lambda}"'] & B/I_\Lambda \otimes A \arrow[d, "\pi_{\lambda\Lambda}\otimes id"'] \\
B/I_\lambda \arrow[r, "\alpha_\lambda"] & B/I_\lambda \otimes A
\end{tikzcd}$$

This proves the equivariance of $\pi_{\lambda\Lambda}$. Moreover, if $\alpha_\Lambda(b + I_\Lambda) = 0$ for some $b \in B$, then

$$0 = (\pi_{\lambda\Lambda} \otimes id)\alpha_\Lambda(b + I_\Lambda) = \alpha_\lambda \pi_{\lambda\Lambda}(b + I_\Lambda).$$

Note that α_λ is injective, thus $b + I_\lambda = \pi_{\lambda\Lambda}(b + I_\Lambda) = 0$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Therefore $b \in I_\Lambda$, which proves the injectivity of α_Λ. $\square$

Theorem 3.14 follows from Lemma 3.18 immediately.

Example 3.19.

1. Consider that a compact quantum group (A, Δ) acts on A by Δ. The Haar measure h is the unique Δ-invariant state on A. Since N_h is an ideal [12, Proposition 7.9], we have that $I_h = N_h$. Hence N_h is an invariant ideal of A.
2. If B is commutative, then $N_\mu = I_\mu$ for every α-invariant state μ on B and N_μ is an α-invariant ideal of B by Theorem 3.12.

3.4. **Kac algebra and tracial invariant states.**

Definition 3.20. A compact quantum group $\mathcal{G}$ is called a **Kac algebra** if one of the following equivalent conditions holds [24, Theorem 1.5] [2, Example 1.1] [1, Definition 8.1]:

1. The Haar measure h of $\mathcal{G}$ is tracial.
2. The antipode κ of $\mathcal{G}$ satisfies that $\kappa^2 = id$ on $\mathscr{A}$.
3. $F^\gamma = id$ for all $\gamma \in \widehat{\mathcal{G}}$.

For an ergodic action α of a compact quantum group $\mathcal{G}$ on B, in general, the unique α-invariant state μ on B is not necessarily tracial (See Remark 3.34 below). In [6], Goswami showed that if $\mathcal{G}$ acts on a unital C^*-algebra B ergodically and faithfully, and the unique α-invariant state μ on B is tracial, then $\mathcal{G}$ is a Kac algebra [6, Theorem 3.2]. Actually Goswami proved this result with the assumption that B is commutative, but his proof works in the noncommutative case with the assumption of the traciality of μ.

Using a different method, we generalize this result to faithful (not necessarily ergodic) actions, and show that traciality of h depends on traciality of invariant states (see Theorem 3.24 below).

Lemma 3.21. *Suppose that $\mathcal{G}$ acts on B by α. Take $\gamma \in \widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ such that* $\mathrm{mul}(B,\gamma) > 0$*. If there exists a state φ on B satisfying that*

$$\varphi(\sum_{1\le s\le d_\gamma} e_{\gamma ks}e^*_{\gamma ks}) > 0$$

and $(\varphi\otimes h)\alpha(e_{\gamma kj}e^*_{\gamma ki}) = (\varphi\otimes h)\alpha(e^*_{\gamma ki}e_{\gamma kj})$ for some $1 \le k \le \mathrm{mul}(B,\gamma)$ and all $1 \le i,j \le d_\gamma$, then $F^\gamma = id$.

Proof. For convenience, in the proof we denote F^γ by F for $\gamma \in \widehat{\mathcal{G}}$. Recall that for $\gamma_1,\gamma_2 \in \widehat{\mathcal{G}}$, $1 \le m,k \le d_{\gamma_1}$ and $1 \le n,l \le d_{\gamma_2}$, we have that

$$h(u^{\gamma_1}_{mk}u^{\gamma_2 *}_{nl}) = \frac{\delta_{\gamma_1\gamma_2}\delta_{mn}F_{lk}}{M_{\gamma_1}},$$

and

$$h(u^{\gamma_1 *}_{km}u^{\gamma_2}_{ln}) = \frac{\delta_{\gamma_1\gamma_2}\delta_{mn}(F^{-1})_{lk}}{M_{\gamma_1}}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\varphi\otimes h)\alpha(e_{\gamma kj}e^*_{\gamma ki})\\
&= \sum_{1\le s,t\le d_\gamma} \varphi(e_{\gamma ks}e^*_{\gamma kt})h(u^\gamma_{sj}(u^\gamma_{ti})^*)\\
&= \sum_{1\le s,t\le d_\gamma} \varphi(e_{\gamma ks}e^*_{\gamma kt})\delta_{st}\frac{F_{ij}}{M_\gamma}\\
&= \sum_{1\le s\le d_\gamma} \varphi(e_{\gamma ks}e^*_{\gamma ks})\frac{F_{ij}}{M_\gamma},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\varphi\otimes h)\alpha(e^*_{\gamma ki}e_{\gamma kj})\\
&= \sum_{1\le s,t\le d_\gamma} \varphi(e^*_{\gamma ks}e_{\gamma kt})h((u^\gamma_{si})^*u^\gamma_{tj})\\
&= \sum_{1\le s,t\le d_\gamma} \varphi(e^*_{\gamma ks}e_{\gamma kt})(F^{-1})_{ts}\frac{\delta_{ij}}{M_\gamma}.
\end{aligned}$$

From $(\varphi\otimes h)\alpha(e_{\gamma kj}e^*_{\gamma ki}) = (\varphi\otimes h)\alpha(e^*_{\gamma ki}e_{\gamma kj})$ and $\sum_{1\le s\le d_\gamma}\varphi(e_{\gamma ks}e^*_{\gamma ks}) > 0$, we have that

$$F_{ij} = \frac{\sum_{1\le s,t\le d_\gamma}\varphi(e^*_{\gamma ks}e_{\gamma kt})(F^{-1})_{ts}\delta_{ij}}{\sum_{1\le s\le d_\gamma}\varphi(e_{\gamma ks}e^*_{\gamma ks})},$$

which implies that F is a scalar matrix under a fixed orthonormal basis of H_γ. Note that $tr(F) = tr(F^{-1})$, hence we get $F = I$ under a fixed orthonormal basis of H_γ, which means that $F = id$. $\square$

Proposition 3.22. *Suppose that a compact quantum group $\mathcal{G}$ acts on B by α. If one of the following two conditions is true:*

(1) *every invariant state on B is tracial,*
(2) *there exists a faithful tracial invariant state,*

then for all $\gamma \in \widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ such that $\mathrm{mul}(B, \gamma) > 0$*, we have that $F^\gamma = id$.*

Proof. Suppose that every invariant state on B is tracial. Note that $(\varphi \otimes h)\alpha$ is an α-invariant state for any $\varphi \in S(B)$. By assumption $(\varphi \otimes h)\alpha$ is tracial. For any $\gamma \in \widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ with $\mathrm{mul}(B, \gamma) > 0$, since for any $1 \leq k \leq \mathrm{mul}(B, \gamma)$, $\sum_{1 \leq s \leq d_\gamma} e_{\gamma k s} e^*_{\gamma k s} > 0$, there exists a $\varphi_\gamma \in S(B)$ satisfying that $\sum_{1 \leq s \leq d_\gamma} \varphi_\gamma(e_{\gamma k s} e^*_{\gamma k s}) > 0$. Hence by Lemma 3.21 we have that $F^\gamma = id$.

On the other hand, if there exists a faithful tracial invariant state on B, say ψ, then $(\psi \otimes h)\alpha = \psi$ and ψ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 3.21. Hence $F^\gamma = id$ for all $\gamma \in \widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ with positive $\mathrm{mul}(B, \gamma)$. $\square$

Remark 3.23. A special case of Proposition 3.22 is the following:

If α is ergodic and the unique α-invariant state μ is tracial, then for all $\gamma \in \widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ such that $\mathrm{mul}(B, \gamma) > 0$, we have that $F^\gamma = id$.

A slightly different version of this result appears in [13, Theorem 3.1] where a necessary and sufficient condition of traciality of the unique invariant state of an ergodic action is given.

Theorem 3.24. *Suppose that a compact quantum group $\mathcal{G}$ acts on B by α faithfully. If one of the following two conditions is true:*

(1) *every invariant state on B is tracial,*
(2) *there exists a faithful tracial invariant state on B,*

then $\mathcal{G}$ is a Kac algebra.

Proof. Note that for all $\gamma \in \widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ and a unitary $u^\gamma \in \gamma$, it follows from [23, Theorem 5.4] that $(id \otimes \kappa^2)u^\gamma = F^\gamma u^\gamma (F^\gamma)^{-1}$. By Proposition 3.22, we see that $F^\gamma = id$ for all $\gamma \in \widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ such that $\mathrm{mul}(B, \gamma) > 0$. So

$$\kappa^2(u^\gamma_{ij}) = u^\gamma_{ij}$$

for all $\gamma \in \widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ such that $\mathrm{mul}(B, \gamma) > 0$ and $1 \leq i, j \leq d_\gamma$. Note that κ^2 is a linear multiplicative map on $\mathscr{A}$. Hence κ^2 is the identity map when restricted on the algebra $\mathscr{A}_2'$ generated by u^γ_{ij}'s for all $\gamma \in \widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ such that $\mathrm{mul}(B, \gamma) > 0$ and $1 \leq i, j \leq d_\gamma$. If $\mathrm{mul}(B, \gamma) > 0$, then $\mathrm{mul}(B, \gamma^c) > 0$. Note that $\overline{u^\gamma} = (u^{\gamma *}_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq d_\gamma} \in \gamma^c$ for all $\gamma \in \widehat{\mathcal{G}}$. So $u^{\gamma *}_{ij} \in \mathscr{A}_2'$ for all $\gamma \in \widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ such that $\mathrm{mul}(B, \gamma) > 0$ and $1 \leq i, j \leq d_\gamma$, and $\mathscr{A}_2'$ is a $*$-algebra. Thus $\mathscr{A}_2' = \mathscr{A}_2$ where $\mathscr{A}_2$ is defined in Proposition 3.2 and is the $*$-algebra generated by u_{ij}^γ for all $\gamma \in \widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ such that $\mathrm{mul}(B, \gamma) > 0$ and $1 \leq i, j \leq d_\gamma$.

Note that α is faithful, hence $\mathscr{A}_2 = \mathscr{A}$ by Proposition 3.2. So $\kappa^2 = id$ on $\mathscr{A}$. This completes the proof. ☐

However, the converse of Theorem 3.24 is not true.

Remark 3.25. By [22, Theorem 5.1], there exists an ergodic and faithful action α of the quantum permutation group $(A_s(n), \Delta_n)$ on the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_n$, which is given by

$$\alpha(S_j) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} S_i \otimes a_{ij}.$$

Although $(A_s(n), \Delta_n)$ is a Kac algebra, there is no tracial state on $\mathcal{O}_n$ since if ψ is a tracial state on $\mathcal{O}_n$, then

$$1 = \psi(\sum_{i=1}^{n} S_i S_i^*) = \psi(\sum_{i=1}^{n} S_i^* S_i) = n,$$

which leads to a contradiction.

4. ACTIONS ON COMPACT HAUSDORFF SPACES

In this section, suppose that a compact quantum group (A, Δ) acts on a compact Hausdorff space X by α and denote $C(X)$ by B. Let ev_x be the evaluation functional on B at $x \in X$, i.e., $ev_x(f) = f(x)$ for all $f \in B$.

First, we derive some basic properties of invariant subsets of X and invariant states on B. Next we show that the existence of minimal invariant subsets of X and formulate the concept of compact quantum group orbits and use it as a tool to study ergodic compact quantum group actions on compact Hausdorff spaces.

4.1. **Invariant subsets and invariant states.**

For a closed subset Y of X, let $J_Y = \{f \in B | \, f = 0 \,\mathrm{on}\, Y\}$ and π_Y be the quotient map from B onto B/J_Y. Consider that a compact quantum group (A, Δ) acts on X by α. We say that Y is an α-**invariant subset** of X if J_Y is an α-invariant ideal of B.

Define the induced action α_Y of (A, Δ) on Y by $\alpha_Y(f + J_Y) = (\pi_Y \otimes id)\alpha(f)$ for $f \in B$. For a state μ on B, since B is commutative, $N_\mu = \{f \in B | \mu(f^* f) = 0\}$ is a two-sided ideal of B. Let $X_\alpha = \{x \in X | f(x) = 0 \text{ for all} \, f \in \ker \alpha\}$.

We now give another characterization of invariant subsets. First we need the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *For a closed subset Y of X and $f \in B$, $(\pi_Y \otimes id)\alpha(f) = 0$ if and only if $(ev_x \otimes id)\alpha(f) = \alpha(f)(x) = 0$ for all x in Y.*

Proof. Suppose that $(\pi_Y \otimes id)\alpha(f) = 0$. For any x in Y, we define a linear functional $\widetilde{ev_x}$ on B/J_Y by $\widetilde{ev_x}(f + J_Y) = f(x)$ for all $f \in B$. If $f \in J_Y$, then $f(x) = 0$ for all x in Y. Hence $\widetilde{ev_x}$ is well-defined. Furthermore, $\widetilde{ev_x}\pi_Y = ev_x$. Applying $\widetilde{ev_x} \otimes id$ to both sides of $(\pi_Y \otimes id)\alpha(f) = 0$, we get $(ev_x \otimes id)\alpha(f) = 0$ for all x in Y.

On the other hand, for all x in Y and some $f \in B$, if $(ev_x \otimes id)\alpha(f) = 0$, then $(\widetilde{ev_x}\pi_Y \otimes id)\alpha(f) = 0$. Note that $(\pi_Y \otimes id)\alpha(f) \in (B/J_Y) \otimes A \cong C(Y) \otimes A \cong C(Y, A)$. Hence for all $x \in Y$, if $(\widetilde{ev_x} \otimes id)(\pi_Y \otimes id)\alpha(f) = 0$, then $(\pi_Y \otimes id)\alpha(f) = 0$. $\square$

Using Lemma 4.1, we have the following.

Proposition 4.2. *A closed subset Y of X is α-invariant if and only if $(ev_x \otimes id)\alpha(f) = 0$ for all x in Y and f in J_Y.*

Next we obtain some properties of X_α.

Proposition 4.3. *The following hold:*

1. *The closed subset X_α of X is α-invariant.*
2. *If X contains infinitely many points, then X_α also contains infinitely many points.*

Proof. (1)The invariance of X_α follows from Proposition 4.2.

(2)Suppose that X_α has finitely many points. Thus $\alpha(B) \cong B/\ker\alpha \cong C(X_\alpha)$ is finite dimensional. Let ε be the counit of (A, Δ) and $\mathscr{B}$ be the Podleś subalgebra of B. Then $\alpha(\mathscr{B}) \subseteq \alpha(B)$ is also finite dimensional. Since $(id \otimes \varepsilon)\alpha|_{\mathscr{B}} = id_{\mathscr{B}}$, we have that α is injective on $\mathscr{B}$. Hence $\mathscr{B}$ is finite dimensional. This is a contradiction to that B is infinite dimensional and that $\mathscr{B}$ is dense in B. $\square$

Denote the quotient space of X corresponding to B^α by Y_α. It follows that $Y^\alpha = X/\sim$, and for $x, y \in X$, one have that $x \sim y$ if and only if $(ev_x \otimes h)\alpha = (ev_y \otimes h)\alpha$.

For an α-invariant subset Y of X, let $\pi_Y : B \to B/J_Y$ be the quotient map. Recall that the induced action α_Y on B/J_Y is defined by $\alpha_Y(f + J_Y) = (\pi_Y \otimes id)\alpha(f + J_Y)$ for all $f \in B$.

Lemma 4.4. *Let Y be an α-invariant subset of X. For an α_Y-invariant state μ on B/J_Y, the pullback $\mu_Y := \mu \circ \pi_Y$ is an α-invariant state on B and $\mathrm{supp}\mu_Y = \mathrm{supp}\mu$.*

Proof. The first assertion follows from the definition of invariant states.

For any f in B , we have that $\mu_Y(f^*f) = 0$ if and only if $\mu((f + J_Y)^*(f + J_Y)) = 0$. This says that the support of μ_Y is the same as μ. ☐

Proposition 4.5. *Suppose that μ is an α-invariant state on B. The support of μ, denoted by* $\mathrm{supp}\mu$*, is an α-invariant subset of X.*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 3.12. See Example 3.19(2) for explanations. ☐

4.2. Minimal invariant subsets and orbits.

In this section, we define compact quantum group orbits, derive some basic properties of it, and describe minimal invariant subsets. At last, we show that under actions of coamenable compact quantum groups, orbits are minimal invariant subsets.

Definition 4.6. Let (A, Δ) act on X by α. For $x \in X$, denote the $*$-homomorphism $(ev_x \otimes id)\alpha : B \to A$ by α_x, and let

$$\mathcal{M}_x = \{y \in X | f(y) = 0 \text{ for all } f \in \ker \alpha_x\}.$$

We call the subset

$$\{x' \in X | (ev_x \otimes h)\alpha = (ev_{x'} \otimes h)\alpha\}$$

of X the **orbit** of x, and denote it by Orb_x.

Lemma 4.7. *For any $x \in X$, the set $\mathcal{M}_x$ is a nonempty closed subset of X.*

Proof. Note that $\alpha_x \neq 0$, thus $\mathcal{M}_x$ is a nonempty closed subset of X. ☐

For every $x \in X$, use A_x to denote the C*-subalgebra $\alpha_x(B)$ of A. Now A_x is isomorphic to $B/\ker \alpha_x$. We denote this isomorphism by $\widetilde{\alpha_x} : B/\ker \alpha_x \to A_x$ and the quotient map from B onto $B/\ker \alpha_x$ by π_x. We get

$$\widetilde{\alpha_x}\pi_x = \alpha_x. \tag{4}$$

A different form of the following lemma is proven in [6, Thoerem 3.2] by Goswami. We write down a proof here in detail based on his argument for completeness.

Lemma 4.8. *Suppose that (A, Δ) acts on a compact Hausdorff space X by α. For every $x \in X$, the coproduct Δ maps A_x into $A_x \otimes A$. Moreover, we have that*

$$(\pi_x \otimes id)\alpha = (\widetilde{\alpha_x}^{-1} \otimes id)\Delta\alpha_x. \tag{5}$$

Proof. We first show that Δ maps A_x into $A_x \otimes A$. For every $f \in B$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta((ev_x \otimes id)\alpha(f)) &= (ev_x \otimes id \otimes id)(id \otimes \Delta)\alpha(f) \\ &= (ev_x \otimes id \otimes id)(\alpha \otimes id)\alpha(f) \\ &= (\alpha_x \otimes id)\alpha(f).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain that $\Delta(A_x) \subseteq A_x \otimes A$ which guarantees that the right hand side of equation (5) is well defined.

Secondly, for every $f \in B$, we get

$$(\widetilde{\alpha_x}^{-1} \otimes id)\Delta\alpha_x(f) = (\widetilde{\alpha_x}^{-1} \otimes id)(\alpha_x \otimes id)\alpha(f) = (\pi_x \otimes id)\alpha(f).$$

□

Proposition 4.9. *For every $x \in X$, the set $\mathcal{M}_x$ is an α-invariant subset of X.*

Proof. It suffices to show that $(\pi_x \otimes id)\alpha(f) = 0$ for every $f \in \ker \alpha_x$, which follows from equation (5). □

Proposition 4.10. *For an α-invariant subset Y of X, $\mathcal{M}_x \subseteq Y$ for every $x \in Y$. If Y is a minimal α-invariant subset of X, then $Y = \mathcal{M}_x$ for every $x \in Y$.*

Proof. Since Y is α-invariant, by Proposition 4.2, we have that $(ev_x \otimes id)\alpha(f) = 0$ for all $f \in J_Y$ and $x \in Y$, which is to say, if $f|_Y = 0$, then $f|_{\mathcal{M}_x} = 0$ for every $x \in Y$. Hence $\mathcal{M}_x \subseteq Y$ for every $x \in Y$.

By Proposition 4.9, the set $\mathcal{M}_x$ is α-invariant. If Y is a minimal α-invariant subset of X, then $\mathcal{M}_x = Y$ for all $x \in Y$. □

Recall that $X_\alpha = \{x \in X | f(x) = 0 \text{ for all } f \in \ker \alpha\}$.

Proposition 4.11. $X_\alpha = \overline{\bigcup_{x \in X} \mathcal{M}_x}$.

Proof. Note that $\alpha(f) = 0$ if and only if $(ev_x \otimes id)\alpha(f) = 0$ for all $x \in X$. That is, $\alpha(f) = 0$ if and only if $f|_{\mathcal{M}_x} = 0$ for all $x \in X$. This is equivalent to say that $X_\alpha = \overline{\bigcup_{x \in X} \mathcal{M}_x}$. □

Theorem 4.12. *The action α of (A, Δ) on X is injective if and only if $X = X_\alpha = \overline{\bigcup_{x \in X} \mathcal{M}_x}$.*

Proof. It is easy to check that α is injective if and only if $X = X_\alpha$. By Proposition 4.11, we finish the proof. □

Next, we want to show that every orbit is an invariant subset.

Recall that $B^\alpha \cong C(Y_\alpha)$ and we denote the canonical quotient map from X onto Y_α by π. Then we have the following,

Lemma 4.13. *For every $y \in Y_\alpha$, two points x_1 and x_2 are in $\pi^{-1}(y)$ if and only if x_1 and x_2 are in the same orbit.*

Proof. Note that $B^\alpha = (id \otimes h)\alpha(B)$. We have that $x_1, x_2 \in \pi^{-1}(y)$ for $y \in Y_\alpha$ if and only if

$$(ev_{x_1} \otimes h)\alpha(g) = (ev_y \otimes h)\alpha(g) = (ev_{x_2} \otimes h)\alpha(g)$$

for every $g \in B$. That is to say, x_1 and x_2 are in the same orbit. □

Theorem 4.14. *For every $x \in X$, the orbit Orb_x is an α-invariant subset of X.*

Proof. By Proposition 4.2, it suffices to show that for any $f \in C(X)$, if $f|_{\mathrm{Orb}_x} = 0$, then $(ev_{x'} \otimes id)\alpha(f) = 0$ for every $x' \in \mathrm{Orb}_x$.

By Lemma 4.13, there exists $y \in Y_\alpha$ such that $\pi^{-1}(y) = \mathrm{Orb}_x$.

Let $f \in B$ such that $f|_{\mathrm{Orb}_x} = 0$. For arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$, denote the closed subset $\{x \in X || f(x)| \geq \varepsilon\}$ by E_ε. Both X and Y_α are compact Hausdorff spaces,

hence $\pi(E_\varepsilon)$, denoted by K_ε, is also compact and Hausdorff. Since $y \notin K_\varepsilon$, by Urysohn's Lemma, there exists a $g_\varepsilon \in B^\alpha$, such that $0 \leq g_\varepsilon \leq 1$, $g_\varepsilon(y) = 0$ and $g_\varepsilon|_{K_\varepsilon} = 1$. Since B^α is a C*-subalgebra of B, the function g_ε is also in B and satisfies that $0 \leq g_\varepsilon \leq 1$, $g_\varepsilon|_{\mathrm{Orb}_x} = 0$ and $g_\varepsilon|_{E_\varepsilon} = 1$.

Now consider $f - fg_\varepsilon$. Then $|f(x) - g_\varepsilon(x)f(x)| = 0$ for every x in E_ϵ, and $|f(x) - g_\varepsilon(x)f(x)| < \varepsilon$ for all $x \in X \setminus E_\varepsilon$ since $|f(x)| < \varepsilon$ and $0 \leq g_\varepsilon \leq 1$. Therefore $||f - fg_\varepsilon|| < \varepsilon$ which implies

$$||(ev_{x'} \otimes id)\alpha(f) - (ev_{x'} \otimes id)\alpha(fg_\varepsilon)|| < \varepsilon$$

for every $x' \in X$.

Note that $g_\varepsilon \in B^\alpha$ and $g_\varepsilon|_{\mathrm{Orb}_x} = 0$. For every $x' \in \mathrm{Orb}_x$, we have that

$$(ev_{x'} \otimes id)\alpha(fg_\varepsilon) = (ev_{x'} \otimes id)(\alpha(f)(g_\varepsilon \otimes 1)) = (ev_{x'} \otimes id)\alpha(f)g_\varepsilon(x') = 0.$$

Consequently, $||(ev_{x'} \otimes id)\alpha(f)|| < \varepsilon$ for all $x' \in \mathrm{Orb}_x$. Note that ε is arbitrary. So $(ev_{x'} \otimes id)\alpha(f) = 0$ for every $x' \in \mathrm{Orb}_x$. This ends the proof. □

Theorem 4.15. *The following are equivalent:*

1. *The action α is ergodic.*
2. $\mathrm{Orb}_x = X$ *for every $x \in X$.*
3. *There exists $x_0 \in X$ such that* $\mathrm{Orb}_{x_0} = X$.

Proof. Obviously (2) implies (3). So we just prove that (1) implies (2) and (3) implies (1).

(1)⇒(2). Suppose that α is ergodic. Then $(id \otimes h)\alpha(f)$ is a constant function on X for every $f \in B$. Therefore, $(ev_x \otimes h)\alpha(f) = (ev_{x'} \otimes h)\alpha(f)$ for all x and x' in X. Consequently $\mathrm{Orb}_x = X$.

(3)⇒(1). If there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $\mathrm{Orb}_{x_0} = X$. We have that $(ev_x \otimes h)\alpha(f) = (ev_{x_0} \otimes h)\alpha(f)$ for every $f \in B$ and $x \in X$. This is equivalent to say that $(id \otimes h)\alpha(f)$ is a constant function on X for every $f \in B$. Therefore α is ergodic. □

Since for an action α of (A, Δ) on X, every orbit Orb_x is invariant, α induces an action α_x of (A, Δ) on Orb_x. Let $J_x = \{f \in B | \, f|_{\mathrm{Orb}_x} = 0\}$ be the ideal consisting of continuous functions varnishing in Orb_x and π_x be the quotient map from B onto B/J_x. Then $\alpha_x(f + J_x) = (\pi_x \otimes id)\alpha(f)$ for every f in B.

Lemma 4.16. *The action α_x on Orb_x is ergodic.*

Proof. Let $\widetilde{ev}_x$ and $\widetilde{ev}_y$ be the evaluation functional on B/J_x at any two points x and y in Orb_x. Then for every f in B

$$\begin{aligned}(\widetilde{ev}_y \otimes h)\alpha_x(f + J_x) &= (\widetilde{ev}_y \otimes h)(\pi_x \otimes id)\alpha(f) \\ &= (ev_y \otimes h)\alpha(f) = (ev_x \otimes h)\alpha(f) \\ &= (\widetilde{ev}_x \otimes h)\alpha_x(f + J_x).\end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 4.17. *Suppose that Y is an α-invariant subset of X. For every $y \in Y$, the orbit of y under α_Y is $Y \bigcap \mathrm{Orb}_y$.*

Proof. For y and y' in Y, $(ev_y \otimes h)\alpha_Y = (ev_{y'} \otimes h)\alpha_Y$ if and only if $(ev_y \otimes h)\alpha = (ev_{y'} \otimes h)\alpha$. □

Let $\mu_x = (ev_x \otimes h)\alpha$ for $x \in X$. Then μ_x is an α-invariant state on B. The support of μ_x, denoted by $\mathrm{supp}\mu_x$, is an α-invariant subset by Theorem 4.5. Next we show that minimal invariant subsets are always of the form $\mathrm{supp}\mu_x$.

Theorem 4.18. *Consider a compact quantum group action α of (A, Δ) on a compact Hausdorff space X. For every $x \in X$, we have that $\mathrm{supp}\mu_x \subseteq \mathcal{M}_x \subseteq \mathrm{Orb}_x$ and that $\mathrm{supp}\mu_x$ is a minimal α-invariant subset of X. If the Haar measure h of (A, Δ) is faithful, then $\mathrm{supp}\mu_x = \mathcal{M}_x$.*

Proof. For every $x \in X$, if $f|_{\mathcal{M}_x} = 0$ for some $f \in B$, then $\alpha_x(f^*f) = 0$. It follows that $\mu_x(f^*f)) = h(\alpha_x(f^*f)) = 0$, which means that $f|_{\mathrm{supp}\mu_x} = 0$. Both $\mathcal{M}_x$ and $\mathrm{supp}\mu_x$ are closed subsets of X. Therefore, $\mathrm{supp}\mu_x \subseteq \mathcal{M}_x$.

By Proposition 4.10, for every $x \in X$, $\mathcal{M}_x \subseteq \mathrm{Orb}_x$ since $x \in \mathrm{Orb}_x$.

Suppose that $\mathrm{supp}\mu_x$ is not minimal. Then there exists an α-invariant subset $Y \subsetneq \mathrm{supp}\mu_x$. Denote the induced actions of (A, Δ) on Y and Orb_x by α_Y and α_x respectively. Choose an α_Y-invariant state ω on $C(Y)$, and denote the pull back of it to $C(\mathrm{Orb}_x)$ by ω_x. It follows that ω_x is also an α_x-invariant state on $C(\mathrm{Orb}_x)$, and ω_x is different from μ_x since the support of ω_x which is contained in Y by Lemma 4.4, is a proper subset of the support of μ_x. Since the action α_x is ergodic by Lemma 4.16, this leads to a contradiction to the uniqueness of α_x-invariant states on Orb_x. So $\mathrm{supp}\mu_x$ is minimal.

Now assume that h is faithful. For $x \in X$, if $h(\alpha_x(f^*f)) = \mu_x(f^*f) = 0$ for $f \in B$, then $\alpha_x(f^*f) = 0$ by the faithfulness of h. This implies that $\mathcal{M}_x \subseteq \mathrm{supp}\mu_x$. □

So far, all examples of compact quantum group actions on compact Hausdorff spaces show that $\mathrm{supp}\mu_x = \mathcal{M}_x = \mathrm{Orb}_x$. Later we show that every orbit is minimal if the compact quantum group is coamenable or the space X is countable (see Theorem 4.21, Corollary 4.24 and Corollary 4.29). Hence we give the following conjecture.

Conjecture 4.19. Consider a compact quantum quantum group action on X. Then $\mathrm{supp}\mu_x = \mathcal{M}_x = \mathrm{Orb}_x$ for every $x \in X$.

If we consider the reduced action α_r of (A_r, Δ_r) on X, it turns out that two points are in the same orbit under α if and only if these two points are in the same orbit under α_r.

Proposition 4.20. *For $x \in X$, the orbit of x under the action of (A, Δ) on X is the same as the orbit of x under the action of (A_r, Δ_r) on X.*

Proof. Two points x and y in X are in the same orbit under the action α of (A, Δ) on X if and only if $(ev_x \otimes h)\alpha = (ev_y \otimes h)\alpha$. Also x and y are in the same orbit under the action α_r of (A_r, Δ_r) on X if and only if $(ev_x \otimes h_r)\alpha_r = (ev_y \otimes h_r)\alpha_r$. Since $h_r\pi_r = h$, we have that

$$(ev_x \otimes h_r)\alpha_r = (ev_x \otimes h_r)(id \otimes \pi_r)\alpha = (ev_x \otimes h)\alpha.$$

Thus $(ev_x \otimes h_r)\alpha_r = (ev_y \otimes h_r)\alpha_r$ if and only if $(ev_x \otimes h)\alpha = (ev_y \otimes h)\alpha$. This completes the proof. □

A compact quantum group $\mathcal{G}$ is called **coamenable** if its Haar measure h is faithful and its counit ε is bounded.

Theorem 4.21. *Suppose that a coamenable compact quantum group (A, Δ) acts on X by α. For any α-invariant subset Y of X, we have that*

$$Y = \bigcup_{x \in Y} \mathrm{Orb}_x.$$

Consequently, Orb_x *is a minimal* α*-invariant subset of* X *for every* $x \in X$.

Proof. The second assertion follows directly from the first. The first assertion is equivalent to that if Y is an α-invariant subset of X and x is in Y, then $\mathrm{Orb}_x \subseteq Y$.

Suppose that f is a positive continuous function on X and $f|_Y = 0$. Since Y is α-invariant, we have that $(ev_x \otimes id)\alpha(f) = 0$ for all $x \in Y$. For every y in Orb_x, since $(ev_x \otimes h)\alpha = (ev_y \otimes h)\alpha$, we obtain that $0 = (ev_x \otimes h)\alpha(f) = (ev_y \otimes h)\alpha(f)$. Since h is faithful and f is positive, we have that $(ev_y \otimes id)\alpha(f) = 0$. The counit ε is bounded, hence $(id \otimes \varepsilon)\alpha(g) = g$ for all $g \in B$. So

$$0 = \varepsilon((ev_y \otimes id)\alpha(f)) = ev_y((id \otimes \varepsilon)\alpha(f)) = f(y).$$

Thus we get that if $f \geq 0$ and $f|_Y = 0$, then $f(y) = 0$ for any $y \in \mathrm{Orb}_x$. Note that both Orb_x and Y are closed subsets of X. Therefore $\mathrm{Orb}_x \subseteq Y$ for any $x \in Y$. ☐

4.3. **Actions on finite spaces.**

Throughout this subsection, we consider a compact quantum group action α on a finite space $X_n = \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n\}$ with n points. Let $a_{ij} = (ev_i \otimes id)\alpha(e_j)$ where e_j is the characteristic function of $\{x_j\}$ and ev_i is the evaluation functional on $B = C(X_n)$ at the point x_i for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$.

The main result in this section is the following characterization of ergodic actions on finite spaces.

Theorem 4.22. *Consider a compact quantum group action* α *of* (A, Δ) *on* B*. The following are equivalent.*

1. *The action* α *is ergodic.*
2. $h(a_{ij}) = \frac{1}{n}$ *for the Haar measure* h *of* (A, Δ) *and* $1 \leq i, j \leq n$.
3. *All* a_{ij}*'s are nonzero for* $1 \leq i, j \leq n$.
4. *Every* α*-invariant state* ψ *of* B *satisfies* $\psi(e_i) = \frac{1}{n}$ *for all* $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Proof. We prove this theorem by showing that (1)⇒ (2)⇒(3)⇒(1) and (2)⇔(4).

(1)⇒ (2). If α is ergodic, then by Proposition 4.15, any two points x_i and x_j in X_n are in the same orbit. That is to say $(ev_i \otimes h)\alpha = (ev_j \otimes h)\alpha$. Hence $(ev_i \otimes h)\alpha(e_k) = (ev_j \otimes h)\alpha(e_k)$ for $1 \leq i, j, k \leq n$. Therefore

$$h(a_{ik}) = h(a_{jk}) \tag{6}$$

for $1 \leq i, j, k \leq n$.

We first show that $h(a_{ij})$ is nonzero for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Suppose not, then there exist $1 \leq i, k \leq n$ such that $h(a_{ik}) = 0$. By equation (6), we have that $h(a_{jk}) = 0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n$. Let μ be the unique invariant state on B. Note that B is finite dimensional. So $\mathscr{B} = B$ and α is injective on B. Hence $a_{jk} = (ev_j \otimes id)\alpha(e_k) \in \mathscr{A}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n$. Since h is faithful on $\mathscr{A}$ and $a_{jk}^* = a_{jk} = a_{jk}^2$, we have that $a_{jk} = 0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n$. Thus $\alpha(e_k)(j) = (ev_j \otimes id)\alpha(e_k) = a_{jk} = 0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n$. Therefore $\alpha(e_k) = 0$. This is a contradiction to the injectivity of α.

Now $h(a_{ij}) > 0$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. If we can prove $h(a_{ij}) = h(a_{ik})$ for all $1 \leq i, j, k \leq n$, then combining this with $\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} = 1$, we get that $h(a_{ij}) = \frac{1}{n}$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$.

By the invariance of the Haar measure we have that $(h \otimes \omega)\Delta = h$ for every state ω on A. Apply this to a_{ij}. Since $\Delta(a_{ij}) = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} \otimes a_{kj}$, we have that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} h(a_{ik})\omega(a_{kj}) = h(a_{ij}).$$

Since $h(a_{ij}) > 0$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, every a_{ij} is a nonzero projection in A. For any $1 \leq l, j \leq n$, there exists a state ω_{lj} on A such that $\omega_{lj}(a_{lj}) = 1$. It follows that

$$h(a_{ij}) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} h(a_{ik})\omega_{lj}(a_{kj}) = h(a_{il}) + \sum_{k \neq l} h(a_{ik})\omega_{lj}(a_{kj}).$$

Hence for all $1 \leq i, j, l \leq n$, $h(a_{ij}) \geq h(a_{il})$, and symmetrically, $h(a_{il}) \geq h(a_{ij})$. So $h(a_{ij}) = h(a_{ik})$ for any $1 \leq i, j, k \leq n$.

(2)⇒(3). This is trivial.

(3)⇒(1). Suppose that $\alpha(f) = f \otimes 1$ for some $f = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i e_i$ with $f_i \in \mathbb{C}$. Since $\alpha(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n f_i e_k \otimes a_{ki}$ and $f \otimes 1 = \sum_{k=1}^n f_k e_k \otimes 1$, we get $\sum_{i=1}^n f_i a_{ki} = f_k$ for every $1 \leq k \leq n$. Since a_{ki} is a nonzero projection in A for all $1 \leq k, l \leq n$, there exists a state ω_{kl} on A such that $\omega_{kl}(a_{kl}) = 1$. Note that $\sum_{j=1}^n a_{kj} = 1$. So $\omega_{kl}(a_{kj}) = \delta_{lj}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n$. Applying ω_{kl} to both sides of $\sum_{i=1}^n f_i a_{ki} = f_k$, we get $f_l = f_k$ for all $1 \leq k, l \leq n$. Therefore f is a constant function on X_n and the action α is ergodic.

(2)⇒(4). Every invariant measure ψ can be written as $\psi = (\phi \otimes h)\alpha$ for some state ϕ on B. Hence

$$\psi(e_i) = (\phi \otimes h)\alpha(e_i) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \phi(e_k)h(a_{ki}) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n} \phi(e_k) = \frac{1}{n}.$$

(4)⇒(2). For every state ϕ on B, the state $(\phi \otimes h)\alpha$ is invariant. Especially choose $\phi = ev_j$. Then

$$\frac{1}{n} = (ev_j \otimes h)\alpha(e_i) = h((ev_j \otimes id)\alpha(e_i)) = h(a_{ji}).$$

□

Proposition 4.23. *Suppose that a compact quantum group (A, Δ) acts on X_n by α. Then two points x_i and x_j in X_n are in the same orbit if and only if $a_{ij} \neq 0$. If $a_{ij} \neq 0$, then $h(a_{ij}) = \frac{1}{m_i}$ where m_i is the cardinality of Orb_{x_i}.*

Proof. Suppose that $a_{ij} \neq 0$. If x_j is not in Orb_{x_i}, then $e_j|_{\mathrm{Orb}_{x_i}} = 0$. Note that Orb_{x_i} is an α-invariant subset of X_n. Thus $a_{ij} = (ev_i \otimes id)\alpha(e_j) = 0$, which is a contradiction. This proves the sufficiency.

On the other hand, suppose that $x_j \in \mathrm{Orb}_{x_i}$. Let $J_i = \{f \in B|\ f|_{\mathrm{Orb}_{x_i}} = 0\}$ be the ideal of continuous functions varnishing on Orb_{x_i} and π_i be the quotient map from B onto B/J_i. Denote the induced action on Orb_{x_i} by α_i, which is defined by $\alpha_i(f + J_i) = (\pi_i \otimes id)\alpha(f)$ for $f \in B$. Let $\widetilde{ev_j}$ be the evaluation functional on B/J_i at x_j. Denote $(\widetilde{ev_i} \otimes id)\alpha_i(e_j)$ by $\widetilde{a_{ij}}$. Then $\widetilde{a_{ij}} = a_{ij}$ by the definition of α_i. By Theorem 4.22, the action α_i on Orb_{x_i} is ergodic. Hence $h(a_{ij}) = h(\widetilde{a_{ij}}) = \frac{1}{m_i}$ where m_i is the cardinality of Orb_{x_i}. This proves the necessity. □

Corollary 4.24. *Suppose that a compact quantum group (A, Δ) acts on X_n ergodically. Then $\mathrm{supp}\mu_x = \mathcal{M}_x = \mathrm{Orb}_x = X_n$ for every $x \in X_n$, that is, every orbit Orb_x is a minimal invariant subset.*

Proof. Note that $\mathrm{supp}\mu_x \subseteq \mathcal{M}_x \subseteq \mathrm{Orb}_x$ for every $x \in X$ by Theorem 4.18. So it suffices to show that if a positive f in B satisfies that $\mu_x(f) = 0$ for some $x \in X_n$, then $f = 0$. Write f as $\sum_{i=1}^n f_i e_i$ with $0 \leq f_i \in \mathbb{C}$ and let $x = x_k$ for some $1 \leq k \leq n$. Then by Theorem 4.22, we have

$$0 = \mu_x(f) = (ev_k \otimes h)\alpha(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i (ev_k \otimes h)\alpha(e_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i h(a_{ki}) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n f_i.$$

Hence $f_i = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $f = 0$. □

4.4. **Non-atomic invariant measures.**

Our main theorem in this subsection is the following.

Theorem 4.25. *If a compact quantum group (A, Δ) acts ergodically by α on a compact Hausdorff space X with infinitely many points, then the unique α-invariant measure μ of X is non-atomic. That is, every point of X has zero μ-measure.*

Denote $C(X)$ by B. For $y \in X$, denote by e_y the characteristic function of $\{y\}$. For a compact quantum group action $\alpha : B \to B \otimes A$, we use ev_x to denote the evaluation functional on B at a point $x \in X$.

Take a regular Borel probability measure μ on X. Denote $\mu(\{x\})$ by μ_x and define a linear functional ν_x on B by $\nu_x(f) = f(x)\mu_x$ for all $f \in B$. With abuse of notation, we also use μ to denote the corresponding linear functional on B such that $\mu(f) = \int_X f \, d\mu$ for $f \in B$. For a subset U of X, if an $f \in B$ satisfies that $0 \le f \le 1$ and $f|_U = 1$, then we write it as $U \prec f$. If f satisfies that $0 \le f \le 1$ and supportof $f \subseteq U$, then we denote it by $f \prec U$.

Before proceeding to the main theorem, we prove some preliminary lemmas first.

Lemma 4.26. *Suppose that a compact quantum group (A, Δ) acts on a compact Hausdorff space X by α. Take an α-invariant measure μ on X. If for two points x and y in X, we have that $\mu_x > \mu_y$, then there exists an open neighborhood V of y satisfying that $(ev_x \otimes id)\alpha(g) = 0$ for all $g \in B$ with $g \prec V$.*

Proof. Note that μ is a state on B and X is a compact Haudorff space. Hence μ is a regular Borel measure on X by the Riesz representation theorem. Since $\mu_x > \mu_y$, there exists an open neighborhood U of y such that $\mu_x > \mu(U)$. We claim that

$$\|(ev_x \otimes id)\alpha(f)\| < 1$$

for all $f \in B$ with $f \prec U$. Since $0 \le f \le 1$, we have that $\|(ev_x \otimes id)\alpha(f)\| \le 1$. If $\|(ev_x \otimes id)\alpha(f)\| = 1$, then there exists a state ϕ on A such that $\phi((ev_x \otimes id)\alpha(f)) = \|(ev_x \otimes id)\alpha(f)\| = 1$ since $(ev_x \otimes id)\alpha(f) \ge 0$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}(\mu \otimes \phi)\alpha(f) = \phi((\mu \otimes id)\alpha(f)) &= \phi(\int_X (ev_x \otimes id)\alpha(f) \, d\mu) \\ &\ge \phi((ev_x \otimes id)\alpha(f))\mu_x = \mu_x.\end{aligned}$$

Since μ is α-invariant, on the other hand

$$(\mu \otimes \phi)\alpha(f) = \phi((\mu \otimes id)\alpha(f)) = \phi(\mu(f)1_A) = \mu(f).$$

Therefore combining these, we get that $\mu(f) \ge \mu_x$. Since $f \prec U$, we also have that $\mu_x > \mu(U) \ge \mu(f)$. This leads to a contradiction. Hence $\|(ev_x \otimes id)\alpha(f)\| < 1$ for all $f \in B$ with $f \prec U$.

Since X is a compact Hausdorff space, there exist an open subset V and a compact subset K of X such that $y \in V \subseteq K \subseteq U$.

By Urysohn's lemma, there is an $f \in B$ such that $K \prec f \prec U$. For any $g \in B$ with $g \prec V$, we see that $0 \le g \le f^n$ for every positive integer n. Thus

$$\|(ev_x \otimes id)\alpha(g)\| \leq \|(ev_x \otimes id)\alpha(f^n)\| = \|(ev_x \otimes id)\alpha(f)\|^n \to 0$$

as $n \to \infty$. Therefore $(ev_x \otimes id)\alpha(g) = 0$. $\square$

Lemma 4.27. *Suppose that a compact quantum group* (A, Δ) *has the faithful Haar measure and acts ergodically by* α *on a compact Hausdorff space* X *with infinitely many points. Denote the unique* α*-invariant measure on* X *by* μ*. Assume that there exists some* $x \in X$ *such that* $\mu_x > 0$*. Let* $\{c_1 > c_2 > c_3 > ...\}$ *be all possible values of* μ_y *for* $y \in X$ *and* $E_1 = \{y \in X | \mu_y = c_1\}$*. For any* $f \in B$*, if* $f|_{E_1} = 0$*, we have* $\alpha(f) = 0$*.*

Proof. First E_1 is a finite subset of X since μ is a finite measure on X. Let $E_1 = \{x_1, ..., x_n\}$ and $ev_i = ev_{x_i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. For any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an open neighborhood V_i of x_i for each $x_i \in E_1$ such that $|f(x)| < \epsilon$ for all $x \in \bigcup_{i=1}^n V_i$. For any $y \notin E_1$, by Lemma 4.26, there exists an open neighborhood V_y of y such that $V_y \bigcap E_1 = \emptyset$ and $(ev_i \otimes id)\alpha(g) = 0$ for all $g \in B$ with $g \prec V_y$ and all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then $\mathcal{V} = \{V_y\}_{y \notin E_1} \bigcup \{V_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is an open cover of X. Since X is a compact Hausdorff space, there exists a finite subcover $\mathcal{V}'$ of $\mathcal{V}$. Let $\{g_V\}_{V \in \mathcal{V}'}$ be a partition of unity of X subordinate to $\mathcal{V}'$. Then $f = \sum_{V \in \mathcal{V}'} f g_V$.

Now let $i = 1$ for convenience. By Lemma 4.26, we have that $(ev_1 \otimes id)\alpha(g_V) = 0$ for all $V \in \mathcal{V}' \setminus \{V_i\}_{i=1}^n$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}(ev_1 \otimes id)\alpha(f) &= (ev_1 \otimes id)\alpha(\sum_{V \in \mathcal{V}'} f g_V) \\ &= \sum_{V \in \mathcal{V}' \bigcap \{V_i\}_{i=1}^n} (ev_1 \otimes id)\alpha(f g_V) + \sum_{V \in \mathcal{V}' \setminus \{V_i\}_{i=1}^n} (ev_1 \otimes id)\alpha(f g_V) \\ &= \sum_{V \in \mathcal{V}' \bigcap \{V_i\}_{i=1}^n} (ev_1 \otimes id)\alpha(f g_V).\end{aligned}$$

Take any $x \in X$. If $x \in \bigcup_{i=1}^n V_i$, then $|\sum_{V \in \mathcal{V}' \bigcap \{V_i\}_{i=1}^n} f(x) g_V(x)| \leq |f(x)| < \epsilon$. If $x \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^n V_i$, then $\sum_{V \in \mathcal{V}' \bigcap \{V_i\}_{i=1}^n} f(x) g_V(x) = 0$. Therefore $\|\sum_{V \in \mathcal{V}' \bigcap \{V_i\}_{i=1}^n} f g_V\| \leq \epsilon$.

Thus

$$\|(ev_1 \otimes id)\alpha(f)\| = \|(ev_1 \otimes id)\alpha(\sum_{V \in \mathcal{V}' \bigcap \{V_i\}_{i=1}^n} f g_V)\| \leq \epsilon.$$

Since ϵ is arbitrary, we have that $(ev_1 \otimes id)\alpha(f) = 0$. Note that $(ev_1 \otimes id)\alpha$ is a $*$-homomorphism, so $(ev_1 \otimes id)\alpha(f^* f) = 0$. The action α is ergodic, hence $(ev_x \otimes h)\alpha(f^* f) = (ev_1 \otimes h)\alpha(f^* f) = 0$ for any $x \in X$.

The Haar measure h is faithful and $(ev_x \otimes id)\alpha(f^*f) \geq 0$, therefore $(ev_x \otimes id)\alpha(f^*f) = 0$ for all $x \in X$ which means $\alpha(f) = 0$. $\square$

Now we are ready to prove the main theorem in this subsection.

Proof of Theorem 4.25. We can assume the Haar measure h of (A, Δ) is faithful otherwise we replace α by the reduced compact quantum group action α_r of (A_r, Δ_r) which has the faithful Haar measure. The action α_r is also ergodic by Corollary 3.7. Moreover, a state on B is α-invariant if and only if it is α_r-invariant (see the argument preceding Corollary 3.7).

Suppose that $\mu(\{x\}) = 0$ for some $x \in X$. Define $E_1 = \{x_1, ..., x_n\}$ as in Lemma 4.27. Let $\mathscr{B}$ be the Podlés algebra of $B = C(X)$. Define a linear map T from $\alpha(\mathscr{B})$ into $\mathbb{C}^n$ by

$$T(\alpha(f)) = (f(x_1), f(x_2), ..., f(x_n))$$

for all $f \in \mathscr{B}$. Note that α is injective on $\mathscr{B}$. So T is well-defined. Also T is linear. By Lemma 4.27, T is injective. The space X contains infinitely many points, hence B is infinite dimensional. Since $\mathscr{B}$ is a dense subspace of B, we have that $\mathscr{B}$ is also infinite dimensional. This leads to a contradiction to that $\mathbb{C}^n$ is finite dimensional and that T is injective. $\square$

4.5. **Actions on countable compact Hausdorff spaces.**

In this subsection, we consider compact quantum group actions on a compact Hausdorff space X_∞ with countably infinitely many points. Within the section, the notation B stands for $C(X_\infty)$. Denote by X_I the set of isolated points and by X_A the set of accumulation points of X_∞.

The main theorem of this subsection follows directly from Theorem 4.25.

Theorem 4.28. *X_∞ is not a quantum homogeneous space.*

Proof. For every Borel probability measure μ on X_∞, there exists an $x \in X_\infty$ such that $\mu(\{x\}) > 0$. So by Theorem 4.25, the space X_∞ cannot admit an ergodic compact quantum group action. $\square$

Corollary 4.29. *For any compact quantum group action α on X_∞, every orbit is finite.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.14, every orbit is an α-invariant subset on which the induced action is ergodic by Lemma 4.16. Notice that every orbit is also countable. Therefore it cannot be infinite by Theorem 4.28. $\square$

Proposition 4.30. *Every compact quantum group action α on a countable compact space is injective.*

Proof. Note that $X_\infty = \bigcup_{x\in X} \mathrm{Orb}_x$. Corollary 4.29 says that every Orb_x is finite, so by Corollary 4.24 we see that Orb_x is minimal. By Proposition 4.10, we have $\mathrm{Orb}_x = \mathcal{M}_x$ for every $x \in X$. So $X_\infty = \bigcup_{x\in X} \mathcal{M}_x$. By Theorem 4.12, the action α is injective. $\square$

We need the following property concerning X_∞ for the next result.

Lemma 4.31. *The subset X_I is dense in X_∞, hence infinite.*

Proof. Let $E = X_\infty \backslash \overline{X_I}$. We want to show that E is empty. Suppose that E is nonempty. For any $x \in E$, we claim that every neighborhood of x in X_∞ contains a point in E other than x. If this is not true, there exists a neighborhood A of x such that $A \bigcap E = \{x\}$. That is, $A\backslash\{x\} \subseteq \overline{X_I}$. Since $x \in X_A$, we have that $x \in \overline{A\backslash\{x\}} \subseteq \overline{X_I}$. This is a contradiction to $x \in E$. Therefore E is perfect, but countable. This leads to a contradiction to [8, Theorem 4.5], which says that every perfect set in a locally compact Hausdorff space has at least the cardinality of $\mathbb{R}$. $\square$

The second main result in this section is the following.

Proposition 4.32. *Every orbit is either contained in X_I, or contained in X_A.*

Proof. We can assume the faithfulness of the Haar measure h since by Proposition 4.20, for any point $x \in X_\infty$, the orbit of x under the action of (A, Δ) is the same as the orbit of x under the action of (A_r, Δ_r).

It suffices to show that $\mathrm{Orb}_x \subseteq X_A$ for $x \in X_A$, which is equivalent to that $e_y|_{\mathrm{Orb}_x} = 0$ for all $y \in X_I$ since X_A is closed. Now consider the induced action α_x on Orb_x. Recall that $\alpha_x(f+J) = (\pi\otimes id)\alpha(f)$ for all $f \in B$, where J is the ideal consisting of functions varnishing on Orb_x and π is the quotient map from B onto B/J. Since Orb_x is finite, α_x is injective by Proposition 4.30. So $e_y|_{\mathrm{Orb}_x} = 0$ for all $y \in X_I$ is equivalent to say that $\alpha_x(e_y) = 0$ for all $y \in X_I$. Since $\alpha_x(e_y)(z) = \alpha(e_y)(z) = a_{zy}$ for all $y \in X_I$ and $z \in \mathrm{Orb}_x$, it suffices to show that $a_{zy} = 0$ for every z in Orb_x and y in X_I.

Let $Z' = \mathrm{Orb}_y \bigcup \mathrm{Orb}_z$ for y and z in X_I such that $\mathrm{Orb}_y \bigcap \mathrm{Orb}_z = \emptyset$. Note that every orbit is finite and α-invariant. So Z' is α-invariant by Proposition 3.15. Consider the induced action $\alpha_{Z'}$ of α on Z'. By Proposition 4.17, Z' consists of only two orbits, Orb_y and Orb_z. Denote $(ev_z \otimes id)\alpha_{Z'}(e_y)$ by b_{zy}. Note that $\alpha_{Z'}$ is an action on a finite space. By Proposition 4.23, we have that $b_{zy} = 0$ since z and y are not in the same orbit under $\alpha_{Z'}$. Observe that $b_{zy} = a_{zy}$. Therefore, by the finiteness of every orbit, after fixing y in X_I, we get that $a_{zy} = 0$ for all but finitely many $z \in X_I$. By Lemma 4.31, for every x in X_A, we can find a sequence $\{z_k\}_{k\geq 1} \subseteq X_I$ converging to x. Then $a_{z_k y} = 0$ for sufficiently large k. Therefore $a_{xy} = \lim_{k\to\infty} a_{z_k y} = 0$. Since α_x is ergodic,

$$0 = h(a_{xy}) = (ev_x \otimes h)\alpha_x(e_y) = (ev_z \otimes h)\alpha_x(e_y) = h(a_{zy}),$$

for any $z \in \mathrm{Orb}_x$ and $y \in X_I$. Note that h is faithful. Hence $a_{zy} = 0$ for all $z \in \mathrm{Orb}_x$ and $y \in X_I$, which completes the proof. $\square$

References

[1] T. Banica. Fusion rules for representations of compact quantum groups. *Exposition. Math.* **17** (1999), no. 4, 313–337.

[2] T. Banica. Representations of compact quantum groups and subfactors. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **509** (1999), 167–198.

[3] E. Bédos, G. J. Murphy, and L. Tuset. Co-amenability of compact quantum groups. *J. Geom. Phys.* **40** (2001), no. 2, 130–153.

[4] F. P. Boca. Ergodic actions of compact matrix pseudogroups on C*-algebras. *Recent advances in operator algebras,* Orlèans, 1992, Astérisque **232** (1995), 93–109.

[5] J. Cuntz. Simple C^*-algebras generated by isometries. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **57** (1977), no. 2, 173–185.

[6] D. Goswami. Quantum symmetries and quantum isometries of compact metric spaces. arXiv: 0811.0095.

[7] R. Høegh-Krohn, M.B. Landstad and E. Størmer. Compact ergodic groups of automorphisms. *Ann. of Math.* **114** (1981), no.1, 75–86.

[8] T. Jech. *Set Theory.* The third millennium edition, revised and expanded. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003.

[9] R. Kadison and J. Ringrose. *Fundamentals of the Theory of Operator Algebras.* Vol. I. Elementary theory. Reprint of the 1983 original. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 15. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997.

[10] B. Li. *Introduction to Operator Algebras.* World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 1992.

[11] H. Li. Compact quantum metric spaces and ergodic actions of compact quantum groups. *J. Funct. Anal.* **256** (2009), no. 10, 3368–3408.

[12] M. Maes and A. Van Daele. Notes on compact quantum groups. *Nieuw Arch. Wisk.* (4) **16** (1998), no. 1-2, 73–112.

[13] C. Pinzari. Growth rates of dimensional invariants of compact quantum groups and a theorem of Høegh-Krohn, Landstad and Størmer. arXiv:1101.4534. To appear in *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*

[14] P. Podleś. Symmetries of quantum spaces. Subgroups and quotient spaces of quantum SU(2) and SO(3) groups. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **170** (1995), no.1, 1–20.

[15] P. Podleś and E. Müller. Introduction to quantum groups. *Rev. Math. Phys.* **10** (1998), no. 4, 511–551.

[16] J. Rotman. *An Introduction to Algebraic Topology.* Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **119**. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1988.

[17] P. M. Sołtan. On actions of compact quantum groups. arXiv: 1003.5526. To appear in *Illinois J. Math.*

[18] M. Takesaki. *Theory of Operator Algebras.* I. Reprint of the first (1979) edition. Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, **124**. Operator Algebras and Non-commutative Geometry, **5**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.

[19] A. Van Daele and S. Wang. Universal quantum groups. *International J. Math.* **7** (1996), no. 2, 255–264.

[20] S. Wang. Free products of compact quantum groups. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **167** (1995), no. 3, 671–692.

[21] S. Wang. Quantum symmetry groups of finite spaces. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **915** (1998), no. 1, 195–211.

[22] S. Wang. Ergodic actions of universal quantum groups on operator algebras. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **203** (1999), no. 2, 481–498.

[23] S. L. Woronowicz. Compact matrix pseudogroups. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **111** (1987), no. 4, 613–665.

[24] S. L. Woronowicz. Compact quantum groups. In: *Symétries Quantiques (Les Houches, 1995)*, pp. 845–884, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1998.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SUNY AT BUFFALO, BUFFALO, NY 14260, U.S.A.

E-mail address: `hh43@buffalo.edu`